Gateway 1

Criterion 1.1: 1a, 1b, 1c
Criterion 1.2: 1d, 1e, 1f, 1g, 1h, 1i

Gateway 2

Criterion 2.1: 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 2g

Gateway 3

Criterion 3.1: 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e, 3f, 3g, 3h
Criterion 3.2: 3i, 3j, 3k, 3l
Criterion 3.3: 3m, 3n, 3o, 3p, 3g, 3r, 3s, 3t, 3u, 3v
Criterion 3.4: 3w, 3x, 3y, 3z
Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

Criterion 1.1

Three-Dimensional Learning
Materials are designed for three-dimensional learning and assessment.

What is the purpose of this Criterion?

This criterion focuses on three dimensions being integrated into assessment tasks for two purposes. One purpose is to see if and how assessments are designed to determine what students have achieved and the other is to determine if and how assessments are designed to guide the instructional process. It is important to note that prior knowledge and experience are related topics that are covered in indicators 1b and 1e. Further, there is a category around general assessment in Gateway 3, Criterion 4: Assessment Design and Supports that focuses on supports and design of materials for using assessments, scoring, and ensuring equity.

This Criterion
- supports the NGSS innovation related to integration of the three dimensions in learning experiences for students.
- examines the materials to determine if assessments integrate the three dimensions.
- examines the materials to determine how assessments are structured (formative/summative).

Research Connection

“Assessment tasks, in turn, have to be designed to provide evidence of students’ ability to use the practices, to apply their understanding of the crosscutting concepts, and to draw on their understanding of specific disciplinary ideas, all in the context of addressing specific problems.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 32)

“Assessment designers are faced with the challenge of finding a balance among three competing priorities: (1) using assessment as a tool for supporting and promoting an ambitious vision for all students, (2) obtaining accurate measures of what students have actually learned, and (3) supporting equity of opportunity for disadvantaged students.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 27)

“The key difference between assessments used for formative purposes and those used for summative purposes is in how the information they provide is to be used: to
guide and advance learning (usually while instruction is under way) or to obtain evidence of what students have learned for use beyond the classroom (usually at the conclusion of some defined period of instruction). Whether intended for formative or summative purposes, evidence gathered in the classroom should be closely linked to the curriculum being taught. This does not mean that the assessment must use the formats or exactly the same material that was presented in instruction, but rather that the assessment task should directly address the concepts and practices to which the students have been exposed.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 85)

“CONCLUSION 2-1 Measuring the three-dimensional science learning called for in the Framework and the Next Generation Science Standards requires assessment tasks that examine students’ performance of scientific and engineering practices in the context of crosscutting concepts and disciplinary core ideas. To adequately cover the three dimensions, assessment tasks will generally need to contain multiple components (e.g., a set of interrelated questions). It may be useful to focus on individual practices, core ideas, or crosscutting concepts in the various components of an assessment task, but, together, the components need to support inferences about students’ three-dimensional science learning as described in a given performance expectation.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 44)

“CONCLUSION 2-4 Effective evaluation of three-dimensional science learning requires more than a one-to-one mapping between the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) performance expectations and assessment tasks. More than one assessment task may be needed to adequately assess students’ mastery of some performance expectations, and any given assessment task may assess aspects of more than one performance expectation. In addition, to assess both understanding of core knowledge and facility with a practice, assessments may need to probe students’ use of a given practice in more than one disciplinary context. Assessment tasks that attempt to test practices in strict isolation from one another may not be meaningful as assessments of the three-dimensional science learning called for by the NGSS.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 46)

Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-16 points</td>
<td>8-12 points</td>
<td>&lt;8 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1.1</th>
<th>Three-Dimensional Learning: Materials are designed for three-dimensional learning and assessment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 1a</td>
<td>Materials are designed to integrate the Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs), Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs), and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) into student learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a.i Scoring:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points</td>
<td>Throughout the grade, all learning sequences include three dimensions and consistently integrate SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs in student learning opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>Throughout the grade, some learning sequences include three dimensions and consistently integrate SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs in student learning opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Throughout the grade, few to no learning sequences include three dimensions and consistently integrate SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs in student learning opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a.ii Scoring:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points</td>
<td>Materials are designed for SEPs and CCCs to meaningfully support student sensemaking with the other dimensions in nearly all learning sequences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>Materials are designed for SEPs or CCCs to meaningfully support student sensemaking with the other dimensions in nearly all learning sequences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Materials are not designed for SEPs and CCCs to meaningfully support student sensemaking with the other dimensions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator
supports the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) innovation related to integration of the three dimensions in learning experiences for students.

- examines the materials to determine if individual lessons or activities are designed including the three dimensions.
- examines the materials to determine if learning sequences (collections of lessons or activities) are designed to integrate the three dimensions.
- examines the materials to determine if learning sequences are designed to support student sensemaking of the three dimensions.
- does not look for exact matches of designed learning experiences to the performance expectations.

**Research or Standards connection:**

"Each NGSS standard integrates one specific SEP, CCC, and DCI into a performance expectation that details what students should be proficient in by the end of instruction. In past standards the separation of skills and knowledge often led to an emphasis (in both instruction and assessment) on science concepts and an omission of inquiry and practices. It is important to note that the NGSS performance expectations do not specify or limit the intersection of the three dimensions in classroom instruction. Multiple SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs that blend and work together in several contexts will be needed to help students build toward competency in the targeted performance expectations. For example, if the end goal (the performance expectation) for students is to plan an investigation to determine the causes and effects of plant growth (2-LS2-1), they can build toward this goal through asking good questions about patterns that they have seen in plant growth and engaging in argument about what kinds of data would be important to collect in an investigation to answer these questions.

It should also be noted that one performance expectation should not be equated to one lesson. Performance expectations define the three-dimensional learning expectations for students, and it is unlikely that a single lesson would provide adequate opportunities for a student to demonstrate proficiency in every dimension of a performance expectation. A series of high-quality lessons or a unit in a program are more likely to provide these opportunities." (2015 Achieve NGSS Innovations)

"The performance expectations in the NGSS are targets for assessment. For students to achieve such performances, they will need regular opportunities to engage in learning that blend all three dimensions of the standards throughout their classroom experiences, from kindergarten through high school (K-12).“ (Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards, p. 25)

“To capture the vision in the Framework, students should be assessed on the extent to which they have achieved a coherent scientific worldview by recognizing similarities among core ideas in science or engineering that may at first seem very different, but are united through crosscutting concepts.” (NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts, p. 3)

“...the framework and its resulting standards have a number of implications for implementation, one of which involves the need for curricular and instructional
materials that embody all three dimensions: scientific and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas." (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 316)

“…learning about science and engineering involves integration of the knowledge of scientific explanations (i.e., content knowledge) and the practices needed to engage in scientific inquiry and engineering design. Thus the framework seeks to illustrate how knowledge and practice must be intertwined in designing learning experiences in K–12 science education.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 11)

“The framework is designed to help realize a vision for education in the sciences and engineering in which students, over multiple years of school, actively engage in scientific and engineering practices and apply crosscutting concepts to deepen their understanding of the core ideas in these fields.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 10)

“That is, during instruction, students’ engagement in the practices should always occur in the context of a core idea and, when possible, should also connect to crosscutting concepts. Both practices and crosscutting ideas are viewed as tools for addressing new problems as well as topics for learning in themselves. Students need to experience the use of these tools in multiple contexts in order to develop the capacity to wield them flexibly and effectively in new problem contexts—an important goal of science learning (National Research Council, 2000, 2007).” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 31)

“Curricula based on the framework and resulting standards should integrate the three dimensions—scientific and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas—and follow the progressions articulated in this report.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 246)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report
- 2015 Achieve NGSS Innovations

**Indicator 1a Guiding Question:**
Are the materials designed to integrate the Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs), Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs), and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) into student learning?
Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters, and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.

Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:

- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:

- Determine where students “actively engage in scientific and engineering practices and apply crosscutting concepts to deepen their understanding of the core ideas in these fields.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 10)
  - within learning opportunities (individual lessons, activities, or investigations) throughout the series
  - within each learning sequence (multiple related lessons, activities) throughout the series
- Determine where meaningful and intentional presence of two-dimensional integration of SEPs and DCIs, CCCs and DCIs, or CCCs and SEPs occurs. Meaningful and intentional integration of SEPs are usually easier to find than CCCs and can often be found in singular lessons or activities. Sometimes the presence of a CCC doesn’t arise until across multiple activities or lessons in a learning sequence.
- Determine where meaningful and intentional use of three-dimensional integration of SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs where all three dimensions support student sensemaking.
- Record the specific examples of units, learning sequences, and lessons or activities that include integrated dimensions, across the series, whether two-dimensional or three-dimensional.
- Provide a summary of how the students engage in the three dimensions and whether the three dimensions are meaningfully integrated to support student sensemaking; reference the unit, lesson or activity.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

For 1a.i: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Do the materials engage all students in learning opportunities (at least one per learning sequence) that integrate all three dimensions in meaningful ways?
- Which SEP elements are present and focal? Are students actually engaging in the practice?
- Which CCCs are present and focal? Are students actually engaging with the CCC element?
- Which DCI elements are present and focal? Are students actually engaging with the DCI element?
● To what degree of frequency does the series focus on integration of three dimensions, and at what level (unit, learning sequence, and/or lesson/activity)?
● Are the materials designed for students to actively engage in the SEPs and CCCs to deepen understanding of DCIs?

For 1a.ii: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
● Do the materials support students’ intentional and meaningful use of all three dimensions to support sensemaking?
● Which SEP elements are present and how do students apply/use them to understand DCIs and/or CCCs?
● Which CCC elements are present and how do students apply/use them to understand DCIs and/or SEPs?
### Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1.1</th>
<th>Three-Dimensional Learning: Materials are designed for three-dimensional learning and assessment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 1b</td>
<td>Materials are designed to elicit direct, observable evidence for three-dimensional learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4      | - Materials consistently provide three-dimensional learning objectives at the lesson level that build toward the three-dimensional objectives of the larger learning sequence.  
         - Lessons and units have assessment tasks that are consistently designed to reveal student knowledge and use of the three dimensions to support the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.  
         - Lessons and units consistently incorporate tasks for purposes of supporting the instructional process.  
         AND  
         - Lessons and units have assessment tasks that are consistently designed to reveal student knowledge and use of the three dimensions to support the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.  
         - Lessons and units incorporate tasks for purposes of supporting the instructional process, but not consistently.  
         - Lessons and units have assessment tasks that are designed to reveal student knowledge and use of the three dimensions to support the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives, but not consistently and/or lessons and units incorporate tasks for purposes of supporting the instructional process, but not consistently. |
| 2      | - Materials consistently provide three-dimensional learning objectives at the lesson level that build toward the three-dimensional objectives of the larger learning sequence.  
         - Lessons and units have assessment tasks that are consistently designed to reveal student knowledge and use of the three dimensions to support the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.  
         - Lessons and units incorporate tasks for purposes of supporting the instructional process, but not consistently. |
| 0      | - Materials provide few or no three-dimensional learning objectives at the lesson level that build toward the three-dimensional objectives of the larger learning sequence.  
         - Lessons and units have assessment tasks that are designed to reveal student knowledge and use of the three dimensions to support the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives, but not consistently and/or lessons and units incorporate tasks for purposes of supporting the instructional process, but not consistently. |

#### About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator

- examines whether the materials elicit evidence for students’ three-dimensional learning of the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.
- elicits student understanding of the three dimensions to guide the instructional process.

Boundaries with other indicators:

- Gateway 3, Criterion 2: Assessment is a criterion focused around assessment supports, like scoring and interpreting assessment information. Indicator 1b does not address how materials are designed to support interpretation of student responses.
- Indicators 1h & 1b: Prior knowledge and experience are related topics that are covered in indicator 1h which targets leveraging students’ prior knowledge and experience. Indicator 1b addresses whether the materials are designed to support instructional response to evidence collected through the formative process, but does not evaluate the quality of those supports.
- Gateway 1, Criterion 2: There is a criterion specifically focused on phenomena and problems driving student learning. Indicator 1b does not address connections to phenomena or problems.
- Indicators 1c & 1b: Indicator 1c deals with three-dimensional summative assessment. Indicator 1b focuses on aspects of three-dimensional formative assessment.

Research or Standards connection:

“Assessments used for formative purposes occur during the course of a unit of instruction and may involve both formal tests and informal activities conducted as part of a lesson. They may be used to identify students’ strengths and weaknesses, assist educators in planning subsequent instruction, assist students in guiding their own learning by evaluating and revising their own work, and foster students’ sense of autonomy and responsibility for their own learning (Andrade and Cizek, 2010, p. 4).” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 84)

“Assessment tasks, in turn, have to be designed to provide evidence of students’ ability to use the practices, to apply their understanding of the crosscutting concepts, and to draw on their understanding of specific disciplinary ideas, all in the context of addressing specific problems.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 32)

“Assessment designers are faced with the challenge of finding a balance among three competing priorities: (1) using assessment as a tool for supporting and promoting an ambitious vision for all students, (2) obtaining accurate measures of what students have actually learned, and (3) supporting equity of opportunity for disadvantaged students.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 27)
“The key difference between assessments used for formative purposes and those used for summative purposes is in how the information they provide is to be used: to guide and advance learning (usually while instruction is under way) or to obtain evidence of what students have learned for use beyond the classroom (usually at the conclusion of some defined period of instruction). Whether intended for formative or summative purposes, evidence gathered in the classroom should be closely linked to the curriculum being taught. This does not mean that the assessment must use the formats or exactly the same material that was presented in instruction, but rather that the assessment task should directly address the concepts and practices to which the students have been exposed.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 85)

“CONCLUSION 2-1 Measuring the three-dimensional science learning called for in the Framework and the Next Generation Science Standards requires assessment tasks that examine students’ performance of scientific and engineering practices in the context of crosscutting concepts and disciplinary core ideas. To adequately cover the three dimensions, assessment tasks will generally need to contain multiple components (e.g., a set of interrelated questions). It may be useful to focus on individual practices, core ideas, or crosscutting concepts in the various components of an assessment task, but, together, the components need to support inferences about students’ three-dimensional science learning as described in a given performance expectation.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 44)

“CONCLUSION 2-4 Effective evaluation of three-dimensional science learning requires more than a one-to-one mapping between the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) performance expectations and assessment tasks. More than one assessment task may be needed to adequately assess students’ mastery of some performance expectations, and any given assessment task may assess aspects of more than one performance expectation. In addition, to assess both understanding of core knowledge and facility with a practice, assessments may need to probe students’ use of a given practice in more than one disciplinary context. Assessment tasks that attempt to test practices in strict isolation from one another may not be meaningful as assessments of the three-dimensional science learning called for by the NGSS.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 46)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report
Indicator 1b Guiding Question:
Are the materials designed to elicit direct, observable evidence for three-dimensional learning?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters, and lessons and associated formative assessment tasks in both student and teacher materials across the grade.

Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine whether the materials provide learning objectives at the lesson level and whether the objectives build towards three-dimensional objectives for the larger learning sequence.
- Determine whether the assessments obtain accurate measures of what students are learning to guide the instructional process.
  - Compare to the instructional objective and the associated three dimensions.
- Determine how the formative assessment process is included to provide diagnostic feedback to teachers and students during the course of instruction.
- Document when and how formative assessment process contains multiple component tasks (e.g., a set of interrelated questions) incorporating three dimensions
  - Individual SEPs, DCIs, or CCCs may individually be present in each of the various components of an assessment task within the formative process, but, together, they help diagnose what students know and can do at the intersection of the three dimensions, related to the targeted learning objective.
  - Do not look only at single items, but at the collection of formative processes and tasks throughout each learning sequence. Evidence should characterize the larger picture and focus on the formative assessment tasks across the sequence, not just evaluating single tasks.
- Do not pull evidence for items assessing outside of the grade-band three dimensions.
- Do not focus on supports for interpreting assessments or for informing instruction, as these are covered in Gateway 3, Criterion 2.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
● Do the materials provide learning objectives at the lesson level?
● Are the lesson objectives three-dimensional? Do they build to three-dimensional objectives for the larger learning sequence?
● Which types of assessments are used to support the formative process? Where are they present? Are they always consistent in form and function, or do they vary?
● Are the assessment tasks within the formative process designed with the three dimensions included within and across multiple components?
● Are the assessment tasks within the formative process connected to the learning objectives for the unit?
● How does the formative assessment process provide diagnostic feedback to teachers and students during the course of instruction? What guidance is provided to support the instructional process?
Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

 Criterion 1.1 | Three-Dimensional Learning: Materials are designed for three-dimensional learning and assessment.

 Indicator 1c | Materials are designed to elicit direct, observable evidence of three-dimensional learning.

 Scoring:

 4 points
● Materials consistently provide three-dimensional learning objectives for the learning sequence. AND
● Summative tasks are designed to measure student achievement of the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.

 2 points
● Materials consistently provide three-dimensional learning objectives for the learning sequence. AND
● Summative tasks are designed to measure student achievement of the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives, but not consistently.

 0 points
● Materials provide three-dimensional learning objectives for the learning sequence, but not consistently. OR
● Few to no summative assessment tasks are three-dimensional in design. OR
● Few to no summative assessment tasks connect to the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.

 About this indicator:

 What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator
● examines whether the materials are designed to elicit evidence of three-dimensional learning of the targeted three-dimensional learning objectives.

 Research or Standards connection:
“CONCLUSION 4-1 Tasks designed to assess the performance expectations in the Next Generation Science Standards will need to have the following characteristics:
● multiple components that reflect the connected use of different scientific practices in the context of interconnected disciplinary ideas and crosscutting
“RECOMMENDATION 4-2 Curriculum developers, assessment developers, and others who create resource materials aligned to the science framework and the Next Generation Science Standards should ensure that assessment activities included in such materials (such as mid- and end-of-chapter activities, suggested tasks for unit assessment, and online activities) require students to engage in practices that demonstrate their understanding of core ideas and crosscutting concepts.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 131)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report

Indicator 1c Guiding Question:
Are the materials designed to elicit direct, observable evidence of three-dimensional learning?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters, and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.

Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine whether the materials provide learning objectives at the larger learning sequence or unit level and whether the objectives are three dimensional.
- Determine whether the summative assessment tasks obtain accurate measures of what students learned.
  - Compare to the objectives and the elements of the three dimensions within each objective.
- Document when and how summative assessments contains multiple component tasks (e.g., a set of interrelated questions) incorporating three dimensions.
Individual SEPs, DCIs, or CCCs may individually be present in each of the various components of an assessment task within the summative process, but together, they help assess what students know and can do at the intersection of the three dimensions, related to the targeted learning objective.

Do not look only at single items, but at the collection of summative processes and tasks throughout each unit. Evidence should characterize the larger picture and focus on the summative assessment tasks across the sequence, not just evaluating single tasks.

- Do not pull evidence for items addressing objectives outside of the grade-band three dimensions.
- Do not focus on supports for interpreting assessments, as these are covered in Gateway 3, Criterion 2.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Are unit objectives three dimensional? Which elements are targeted in each objective?
- Which types of summative assessments are used to determine student achievement (performance tasks, multiple choice questions, written/constructed responses, etc.)? Where are they present? Are they always consistent in form and function or do they vary?
- Are the individual SEPs, DCIs, and CCCs present in the summative assessment task(s)?
- Are the summative assessments designed with the three dimensions included within and across multiple components?
- Are the summative assessment tasks connected to the learning objectives for the unit? Do the summative tasks assess all of the elements within the unit objectives?
- Are summative assessment tasks present that are designed to integrate the three dimensions for specific PEs or objectives?
- Do summative assessments directly assess the PEs/objectives or are grade-band elements of each dimension assessed independently of the PE/objective throughout the series?
## Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

### Criterion 1.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What is the purpose of this Criterion?

A major goal for NGSS-designed science education is for “students to be able to explain real-world phenomena and to design solutions to problems using their understanding of the DCIs, CCCs, and SEPs. By doing so, students develop their understanding of the DCIs by engaging in the SEPs and applying the CCCs. These three dimensions are tools that students can acquire and use to answer questions about the world around them and to solve design problems.” ([2015 Achieve NGSS Innovations](https://www.achievethecore.org/academic-strand/science-education/next-generation science standards/))

This criterion
- supports the NGSS innovation related to using phenomena and problems to drive instruction.
- examines the materials to determine the extent that students are engaged in making sense of natural phenomena or solving design problems in meaningful ways.
- examines whether phenomena or problems are used as more than just an attention grabber or hook; the phenomenon or problem is what is used to drive instruction and connect student sensemaking to the three dimensions (DCIs, SEPs, CCCs) within and across lessons.

### Research Connection

“... the goal of science is to develop a set of coherent and mutually consistent theoretical descriptions of the world that can provide explanations over a wide range of phenomena. For engineering, however, success is measured by the extent to which a human need or want has been addressed.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 48)

“Asking students to demonstrate their own understanding of the implications of a scientific idea by developing their own explanations of phenomena, whether based on observations they have made or models they have developed, engages them in an essential part of the process by which conceptual change can occur.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 68)
“Learning to explain phenomena and solve problems is the central reason students engage in the three dimensions of the NGSS. Students explain phenomena by developing and applying the Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs) and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) through use of the Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs).”

(Using Phenomena in NGSS-Designed Lessons and Units)

Other resources to support the vision and criteria for using phenomena or problems to drive instruction of lessons or activities:

- **Using Phenomena in NGSS-Designed Lessons and Units**: a handout that describes how educators can use phenomena to drive three-dimensional teaching and learning, from NGSS.
- **Criteria for Evaluating Phenomena**: a tool used in NGSS@NSTA professional learning experiences.
- **Qualities of a Good Anchor Phenomenon**: a resource from the Research + Practice Collaboratory

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-12 points</td>
<td>6-9 points</td>
<td>&lt;6 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

#### Criterion 1.2

**Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning:** Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.

#### Indicator 1d

**Phenomena and/or problems are connected to grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas**

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Materials consistently connect phenomena and problems to grade-level appropriate DCIs or their elements.</td>
<td>- Few phenomena are connected to grade-level DCIs or their elements. OR - Few problems are connected to grade-level DCIs or their elements.</td>
<td>- Phenomena are not present. OR - Problems are not present. OR - Phenomena are not connected to grade-level DCIs or their elements. OR - Problems are not connected to grade-level DCIs or their elements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

#### What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines whether phenomena or problems within the series connect student sensemaking to the DCIs.

#### Research or Standards connection:

Read information for Gateway 1, Criterion 2.

#### Resources:

- [Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)](https://www.nextgenscience.org)
- [Codes for NGSS Elements](https://www.nextgenscience.org/resource/codes-ngss-elements)
- [NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions](https://www.nextgenscience.org/resource/appendix-e-disciplinary-core-idea-progressions)
Indicator 1d Guiding Question:
Are the phenomena and/or problems connected to grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs)?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine where phenomena and/or problems are used to connect student sensemaking to one or more DCIs (Physical, Life, and Earth/Space Sciences).
- Record the specific examples throughout the grade that connect phenomena and/or problems to DCIs.
- Record the specific examples throughout the grade that use phenomena and/or problems to develop understanding of DCIs.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials engage all students in learning experiences about phenomena and/or problems that are not separated from the DCIs?
- Can the phenomena and/or problems in the series be explained through the application of targeted grade-level appropriate DCIs?
- Does student engagement of phenomena and/or problems develop understanding or require application of the DCIs?
- Do the materials engage students in phenomena and/or problems across DCIs in all disciplines (earth/space, life, physical science) within the grade?
### Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1.2</th>
<th>Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning: Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 1e</td>
<td>Phenomena and/or problems are presented to students as directly as possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Materials consistently present phenomena and/or problems to students as directly as possible.</td>
<td>● Materials present phenomena and/or problems to students as directly as possible in multiple instances.</td>
<td>● Phenomena and/or problems are not presented to students as directly as possible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator
- examines the materials to determine whether phenomena and/or problems in the grade are presented to students as directly as possible, and
- examines the materials for a common experience for all students from which knowledge can be built; it does not assume that all students have background knowledge and experience.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“...opportunities for students to engage in direct observations of phenomena illustrate the process of basic scientific research.” (Taking Science to School, pp. 13-14)

“By using familiar materials and phenomena, students can more readily conjure up their own ideas and experiences and tap into these as they build explanations. This makes it possible for every student to participate in a more meaningful way.” (Ready Set Science, p. 93)

Many phenomena can be observed first-hand by students, but some can’t be due to scale (physical size or timeframe), geographic location, frequency of occurrence, safety, or a variety of other factors. This indicator is designed to determine if the materials present phenomena and/or problems as directly (or as close to first-hand) as possible.
Indicator 1e Guiding Question:
Are phenomena and/or problems presented to students as directly as possible?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine how phenomena and/or problems are being presented to students.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities that include phenomena and/or problems and how they are presented to students.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How do the materials present phenomena and/or problems to students (direct observation, observation by video or other multimedia, simulations, teacher demonstration, pictures, reading about them, etc.)?
- Would it be practical or reasonable to present the phenomenon and/or problem first hand?
- Are phenomena and/or problems that are not appropriate for first-hand observation presented using multimedia, simulations, or other rich resources as a way to provide students with a common entry point or experience with the phenomenon or problem?
- Are students observing or interacting with the phenomena and/or problems in ways other than being told about it or reading about it in text?
- Are phenomena and/or problems presented without extraneous or distracting information?
## Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

| Criterion 1.2 | Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning: Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance. |
| Indicator 1f | Phenomena and/or problems drive individual lessons or activities using key elements of all three dimensions (also see indicator 1i). |

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Materials consistently provide lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning. <strong>AND</strong>&lt;br&gt;● Materials consistently provide lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions.</td>
<td>● Materials provide multiple lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning. <strong>AND</strong>&lt;br&gt;● Materials provide multiple lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions.</td>
<td>● Materials provide no or few lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning. <strong>OR</strong>&lt;br&gt;● Materials provide no or few lessons or activities within the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

#### What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator
- examines the materials to determine if individual lessons or activities are designed to engage students in making sense of natural phenomena or solving design problems in meaningful ways.<br>- examines whether lessons and activities use phenomena or problems to drive instruction for the lesson or activity and connect student sensemaking to the three dimensions (DCIs, SEPs, CCCs).
Research or Standards connection:
Read information for Gateway 1, Criterion 2.

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

Indicator 1f Guiding Question:
Do phenomena and/or problems drive individual lessons or activities using key elements of all three dimensions?

Evidence Collection
Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine where phenomena and/or problems serve as a central component of the lesson or activity and are used to drive three-dimensional student learning.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities that use phenomena and/or problems to drive student learning.

Cluster Meeting
During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials include phenomena and problems at the lesson or activity level?
- Do the phenomena and/or problems in the lessons or activities serve as a central component (drive student learning) of learning and do students explain them through the application of targeted grade-appropriate SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs?
Do the materials engage all students in learning experiences that connect phenomena and/or problems with the three dimensions and are not separated from the DCIs?

Does student engagement of phenomena and/or problems develop understanding of just the DCIs, or does it also develop understanding of CCCs and SEPs?

Do the materials engage students in phenomena and/or problems across DCIs in all disciplines (earth/space, life, physical science) across the grade?
### Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1.2</th>
<th>Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning: Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 1g</td>
<td>Materials are designed to include both phenomena and problems.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

### About this indicator:

#### What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator

- Provides a narrative report of the presence, structure, and proportional number of activities at each grade that focus on understanding phenomena and solving problems.

#### Research or Standards connection:

Read information for Gateway 1, Criterion 2.

#### Resources:

- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

### Indicator 1g Guiding Question:

Are the materials designed to include both phenomena and problems?

### Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.

Review the grade and series scope and sequence.

Look for and record evidence to:

- Determine when and where the materials engage students in figuring out phenomena.
- Determine the proportional amount of materials that engage students in understanding phenomena.
- Determine when and where the materials engage students in solving problems. Note how the ETS standards support student engagement in solving problems.
- Determine the proportional amount of materials that engage students in solving problems.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities that include phenomena that students explain and/or problems that students solve.

## Cluster Meeting

### During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- What proportion of the materials (and/or instructional time) engage students with figuring out phenomena?
- Which units, lessons, or activities engage students in figuring out phenomena?
- What proportion of the materials (and/or instructional time) engage students with defining and designing solutions to problems?
- Which units, lessons, or activities engage students in defining and designing solutions to problems?
## Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

### Criterion 1.2

**Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning:** Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.

### Indicator 1h

Materials intentionally leverage students’ prior knowledge and experiences related to phenomena or problems.

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 points</strong></td>
<td>• Materials consistently elicit and leverage students’ prior knowledge and experience to phenomena and problems across the grade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **1 point** | • Materials elicit and leverage students’ prior knowledge and experience for a limited range of phenomena and problems across the grade.  
  AND/OR  
  • Materials elicit but do not leverage students’ prior knowledge and experience to phenomena and problems across the grade. |
| **0 points** | • Materials do not address students’ prior knowledge and experience related to phenomena and problems. |

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines each grade to determine if the materials are designed to leverage students’ prior knowledge and experiences to support sensemaking when engaging with phenomena or solving problems.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“When people enter into the practices of science or engineering, they do not leave their cultural worldviews at the door. Instruction that fails to recognize this reality can adversely affect student engagement in science.” (A Framework for K-12 Science...
“Everyday experience provides a rich base of knowledge and experience to support conceptual changes in science. Students bring cultural funds of knowledge that can be leveraged, combined with other concepts, and transformed into scientific concepts over time. Everyday contexts and situations that are important in children’s lives not only influence their repertoires of practice but also are likely to support their development of complex cognitive skills.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 284).

“To advance students’ conceptual understanding, prior knowledge and questions should be evoked and linked to experiences with experiments, data, and phenomena.” (Taking Science to School, p. 251)

“As instruction taps their entering knowledge and skills, students must reconcile their prior knowledge and experiences with new, scientific meanings of concepts, terms, and practices." (Taking Science to School, p. 264)

“Children’s understandings of the world sometimes contradict scientific explanations. These conceptions about the natural world can pose obstacles to learning science. However, their prior knowledge also offers leverage points that can be built on to develop their understanding of scientific concepts and their ability to engage in scientific investigations. Thus, children’s prior knowledge must be taken into account in order to design instruction in strategic ways that capitalize on the leverage points and adequately address potential areas of misunderstanding.” (Taking Science to School, pp. 337-338)

"Conclusion 4: Students' knowledge and experience play a critical role in their science learning, influencing all four strands of science understanding. Children’s concepts can be both resources and barriers to emerging understanding. These concepts can be enriched and transformed by appropriate classroom experiences." Science learners require instructional support to engage in scientific practices and to interpret experience and experiments in terms of scientific ideas." (Taking Science to School, p. 337)

Resources:

- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Taking Science To School
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- STEM Teaching Tool 31: How to launch STEM investigations that build on student and community interests and expertise
- STEM Teaching Tool 58: How can science instruction leverage and develop student interests?

Indicator 1h Guiding Question:
Do the materials intentionally leverage students’ prior knowledge and experiences related to phenomena or problems?
Evidence Collection

Review the student and teacher materials across the grade. Review the grade and series scope and sequence.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine where the materials elicit students’ prior knowledge and experience about phenomena and/or problems.
- Determine where the materials explicitly leverage students’ prior knowledge and experience to make sense of phenomena and/or solve problems.
- Record specific examples of designed learning experiences that elicit and leverage students’ prior knowledge and experience to make sense of phenomena and/or solve problems.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials elicit and leverage students’ prior knowledge and experiences to allow for meaningful learning of phenomena and/or solving problems in a way that allows them to make connections between what they are learning and their own knowledge?
- Do the materials elicit and leverage prior students’ knowledge and experience to adequately address potential areas of misunderstanding?
- Do the materials accommodate different entry points to the learning of phenomena and/or solving problems?
- Do the materials provide opportunities for students to leverage their own experiences or knowledge related to the phenomena or problem as they develop explanations or solutions.
# Gateway 1: Designed for NGSS

## Criterion 1.2

**Phenomena and Problems Drive Learning: Materials leverage science phenomena and engineering problems in the context of driving learning and student performance.**

## Indicator 1i

**Materials embed phenomena or problems across multiple lessons for students to use and build knowledge of all three dimensions (also see indicator 1f).**

## Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4 points | - Materials consistently provide units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning across multiple lessons.  
  AND  
  - Materials consistently provide units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions across multiple lessons.  
  AND  
  - Materials consistently provide multimodal opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems. |
| 2 points | - Materials provide numerous units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning across multiple lessons.  
  AND  
  - Materials provide numerous units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions across multiple lessons.  
  AND  
  - Materials provide multimodal opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems. |
| 0 points | - Materials provide no or few units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to drive student learning across multiple lessons.  
  OR  
  - Materials provide no or few units, chapters, or learning modules across the grade that use phenomena or problems to engage with all three dimensions across multiple lessons.  
  OR  
  - Materials do not provide multimodal opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems. |
About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator

- examines the materials to determine if units, chapters, or learning modules are designed to engage students in making sense of natural phenomena or solving design problems in meaningful ways, across multiple lessons.
- examines the materials to determine if units, chapters, or learning modules provide multimodal opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems.
- examines whether units, chapters, or learning modules containing multiple lessons use phenomena or problems to drive instruction for the lesson or activity and connect student sensemaking to the three dimensions (DCIs, SEPs, CCCs).

Research or Standards connection:
Read information for Gateway 1, Criterion 2.

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

Indicator 1i Guiding Question:
Do the materials embed phenomena or problems across multiple lessons for students to use and build knowledge of all three dimensions?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine where phenomena and/or problems are used in multiple lessons to drive three-dimensional student learning.
- Determine where the materials provide opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems and whether these opportunities are multimodal.
- Record the specific examples of units, chapters, or learning modules that include phenomena and/or problems.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Do the materials include phenomena and problems at the unit, chapter, or learning module level?
- Do the phenomena and/or problems set out for the learning sequences serve as a central component (drive student learning) of learning across multiple lessons or activities and can they be explained through the application of targeted grade-appropriate SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs?
- Do the materials engage all students in learning experiences that connect phenomena and/or problems with the three dimensions and are not separated from the DCIs?
- Does student engagement of phenomena and/or problems develop understanding of just the DCIs, or does it also develop understanding of CCCs and SEPs?
- Do the materials provide opportunities for students to develop, evaluate, and revise their thinking as they figure out phenomena and define/solve problems, and are those opportunities multimodal?
- Do the materials engage students in phenomena and/or problems across DCIs in all disciplines (earth/space, life, physical science) across the grades?
Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

Criterion 2.1

Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions
Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.

What is the purpose of this Criterion?

“The Framework’s vision is that students will acquire knowledge and skill in science and engineering through a carefully designed sequence of learning experiences. Each stage in the sequence will develop students’ understanding of particular scientific and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas while also deepening their insights into the ways in which people from all backgrounds engage in scientific and engineering work to satisfy their curiosity, seek explanations about the world, and improve the built world.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 247)

“A major goal for NGSS-designed science education is for students to be able to develop their understanding of the DCIs by engaging in the SEPs and applying the CCCs. These three dimensions are tools that students can acquire and use to answer questions about the world around them and to solve design problems.” (2015 Achieve NGSS Innovations)

The NGSS were designed in a coherent manner with progressions for each dimension building from grades K-12. The progressions for the DCIs, CCCs, and SEPs are displayed in separate appendices for the NGSS (appendices E, F, and G, respectively). The progressions appendices for Grades K-5 showcase the end of grade-band expectation for each dimension. Since the progressions are grade-banded, materials can be developed in a variety of ways including a range of learning progressions that can occur in various grades that may or may not build toward the end of grade-band expectation. Therefore, it is important for a review to examine how materials are designed to purposefully build student’s knowledge and use of each dimension over the course of the series.

This criterion
- examines the materials to determine the extent that students are engaged in learning accurate and appropriate grade-level and/or grade-band science.
- examines the materials to determine the extent that all DCIs and associated elements are included within the series.
- examines the materials to determine the extent that each science and engineering practice is included at each grade and the associated elements of each practice are included within the series.
- examines the materials to determine the extent that each crosscutting concept is included at each grade and the associated elements of each crosscutting concept are included within the series.

**Research Connection**

“...K-12 science and engineering education should focus on a limited number of disciplinary core ideas and crosscutting concepts, be designed so that students continually build on and revise their knowledge and abilities over multiple years, and support the integration of such knowledge and abilities with the practices needed to engage in scientific inquiry and engineering design.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 2)

“A coherent and consistent approach throughout grades K-12 is key to realizing the vision for science and engineering education embodied in the Framework: that students, over multiple years of school, actively engage in science and engineering practices and apply crosscutting concepts to deepen their understanding of each field’s disciplinary core ideas.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 2)
“Every science unit or engineering design project must have as one of its goals the development of student understanding of at least one disciplinary core idea. In addition, explicit reference to each crosscutting concept will recur frequently and in varied contexts across disciplines and grades. These concepts need to become part of the language of science that students use when framing questions or developing ways to observe, describe, and explain the world.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 247)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring:</th>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-34 points</td>
<td>17-29 points</td>
<td>&lt;17 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Criterion 2.1</strong></th>
<th>Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator 2a</strong></td>
<td>Materials are designed for students to build and connect their knowledge and use of the three dimensions across the series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a.i.</td>
<td>Students understand how the materials connect the dimensions from unit to unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a.ii.</td>
<td>Materials have an intentional sequence where student tasks increase in sophistication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2a.i Scoring:

| **2 points** | Materials consistently demonstrate how the dimensions connect from unit to unit by describing connections for students and/or providing supports for teachers to help students understand connections. |
| **1 point** | Materials demonstrate how the dimensions connect from unit to unit by describing connections for students and/or providing supports for teachers to help students understand connections, but not consistently. |
| **0 points** | Materials do not demonstrate how the dimensions connect from unit to unit. |

#### 2a.ii Scoring:

| **2 points** | Materials are designed with an intentional or suggested sequence and student tasks related to explaining phenomena and/or solving problems |
| **1 point** | Materials are designed with an intentional or suggested sequence but student tasks related to explaining phenomena and/or solving problems do |
| **0 points** | Materials are not designed with an intentional sequence, nor do they provide a suggested sequence. |
About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator

- Examines whether the materials connect student learning and use of the three dimensions within or between units and across the series.
- Examines whether materials are designed with an intentional sequence or suggested sequence and/or guidance on how to intentionally sequence (when modular in design).

Research or Standards connection:

“The Framework’s vision is that students will acquire knowledge and skill in science and engineering through a carefully designed sequence of learning experiences. Each stage in the sequence will develop students’ understanding of particular scientific and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas while also deepening their insights into the ways in which people from all backgrounds engage in scientific and engineering work to satisfy their curiosity, seek explanations about the world, and improve the built world.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 247)

“Science concepts build coherently across K–12. The emphasis of the NGSS is a focused and coherent progression of knowledge from grade band to grade band, allowing for a dynamic process of building knowledge throughout a student’s entire K–12 science education.” (The Next Generation Science Standards, p. xiii)

"Conclusion 5: Proficiency in science involves having knowledge of facts and concepts as well as how these ideas and concepts are related to each other. Thus, to become more expert in science, students need to learn key ideas and concepts, how they are related to each other, and their implications and applications within the discipline. This entails a process of conceptual development that in some cases involves large-scale reorganization of knowledge and is not a simple accumulation of information." (Taking Science to School, pp. 338-339)

“Curriculum units need to be sequenced across a year so that students can build ideas across time in coherent learning progressions, in which questions or challenges, gaps in models, and new phenomena motivate developing deeper disciplinary core and crosscutting ideas.” (Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards, p. 53)

“Across units, students encounter the different dimensions of a core idea within different science and engineering practices, and they encounter crosscutting concepts across
investigations of different core ideas. Over time, moreover, students’ understanding of core ideas and crosscutting concepts develops so that they can be presented with more complex phenomena and design challenges, and their increasing grasp of practice supports their ability to engage with these phenomena and challenges.” (Designing NGSS-Aligned Curriculum Materials, p. 11)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Designing NGSS-Aligned Curriculum Materials
- Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts

Indicator 2a Guiding Question:
Are the materials designed for students to build and connect their knowledge and use of the three dimensions across the series?

Evidence Collection

Review the units in both student and teacher materials across the series.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
2a.i
- Describe how the dimensions connect from unit to unit
  - Describe or reference connections in the students materials
  - Describe teacher supports that help students understand the connections between units.
- Describe how the materials are designed to build and connect each dimension over time.
  - Focus on how the materials connect DCIs across units (or grades) to help students build and connect knowledge.
  - Focus on how the materials connect SEPs across units (or grades) to help students build and connect knowledge.
  - Focus on how the materials connect CCCs across units (or grades) to help students build and connect knowledge.
- Record the specific examples that provide evidence of how each dimension connects or builds across units, grade(s), and/or the series.

2a.ii
● Describe how the materials are designed with an intentional or suggested sequence.
● Record evidence that student tasks related to explaining phenomena and/or solving problems increase in sophistication across the series.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

For 2a.i: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

● Do the materials provide information to help students understand how the dimensions connect from unit to unit?
  ○ Do the materials make clear (to the students) the connections of the three dimensions across units to connect prior, current, and future learning?
  ○ Do the materials provide teacher supports designed to help students understand the connections between units?
  ○ How do the materials connect DCIs, SEPs, and/or CCCs from unit to unit (within or across grades) to help students build and connect knowledge.

For 2a.ii: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

● Are the materials designed with an intentional sequence?
  ○ If the materials are modular, do the materials provide a suggested sequence as implementation guidance?
  ○ Do student tasks related to explaining phenomena and/or solving problems increase in sophistication across the series?
  ○ Do the student tasks change over time?
  ○ Do student tasks get more complex and involve deeper use of the SEPs as students explain phenomena or solve problems?
  ○ Do the explanations of phenomena or the solutions to problems increase in complexity across the series?
Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

| Criterion 2.1 | Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions. |

| Indicator 2b | Materials present Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs), Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs), and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) in a way that is scientifically accurate.* |

**Scoring:**
* NOTE: This indicator is non-negotiable; materials being reviewed must score above zero points to have an opportunity to advance past Gateway 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Materials consistently present all three dimensions in a scientifically accurate way. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; ● Assessments consistently present all DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs in a scientifically accurate way.</td>
<td>● Materials and assessments consistently present all DCIs in a scientifically accurate way. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; ● Materials contain a few minor errors (not fully accurate) when presenting SEPs or CCCs. &lt;br&gt; AND/OR &lt;br&gt; ● Assessments contain a few minor errors (not fully accurate) when assessing SEPs or CCCs.</td>
<td>● Materials contain numerous minor errors (not fully accurate) in presenting any of the dimensions. &lt;br&gt; OR &lt;br&gt; ● Materials present any of the dimensions in a scientifically inaccurate way. &lt;br&gt; OR &lt;br&gt; ● Assessments present any of the dimensions in a scientifically inaccurate way.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator
- examines whether materials present DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs in a scientifically accurate way within and across lessons, activities, and units/learning modules and as students make sense of phenomena and solve problems.
- examines whether materials present disciplinary core ideas, SEPs, and CCCs in a
Research or Standards connection:
Scientific rigor and accuracy of what students learn is paramount; the materials need to accurately communicate important DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs.

“...science content standards should be clear, detailed, and complete; reasonable in scope; rigorously and scientifically correct; and based on sound models of student learning.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 298)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts

Indicator 2b Guiding Question:
Do the materials present Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs), Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs), and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) in a way that is scientifically accurate?

Evidence Collection
Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine whether the materials present DCIs and associated elements in a scientifically accurate way.
- Determine whether the materials present SEPs and associated elements in a scientifically accurate way.
- Determine whether the materials present CCCs and associated elements in a scientifically accurate way.
- Determine whether the materials combine dimensions for two-dimensional and three-dimensional learning in a scientifically accurate way.
- Record evidence that assessments present DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs in a scientifically accurate way.

Cluster Meeting
During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Do the materials present each dimension and its elements in a scientifically accurate way?
- Do the materials combine dimensions for two-dimensional and three-dimensional learning in a scientifically accurate way?
- Do the assessments present DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs elements in a scientifically accurate way?
Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

| Criterion 2.1 | Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions. |
| Indicator 2c | Materials do not inappropriately include scientific content and ideas outside of the grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas.* |

**Scoring:**

*NOTE: This indicator is non-negotiable; materials being reviewed must score above zero points to have an opportunity to advance past Gateway 2.*

**2 points**

- Materials contain no instances where non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal, aesthetic, religious, existential) are included as science ideas.
  
  AND

- Materials contain no instances where scientific content or ideas are included without meaningful connections to grade-level DCIs.
  
  AND

- Materials contain no instances of DCIs from below the grade that are included without meaningful connections made to the grade-level DCIs.
  
  AND

**1 point**

- Materials contain no instances where non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal, aesthetic, religious, existential) are included as science ideas.
  
  AND

- Materials contain few instances where scientific content or ideas are included without meaningful connections to grade-level DCIs.
  
  AND/OR

- Materials contain few instances of DCIs from below the grade that are inappropriately included with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs.
  
  AND/OR

**0 points**

- Materials contain multiple instances where non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal, aesthetic, religious, existential) are included as science ideas.
  
  OR

- Materials contain multiple instances where scientific content or ideas are included without meaningful connections to grade-level DCIs.
  
  OR

- Materials contain multiple instances of DCIs from below the grade that are inappropriately included with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs.
are included without meaningful connections made to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning.

above the grade that are inappropriately included with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning.

OR

● Materials contain multiple instances of DCIs from above the grade that are inappropriately included with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning.

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator

- examines whether materials inappropriately label non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal, aesthetic, religious, existential) as DCIs in science.
- examines whether materials inappropriately label scientific content or ideas outside of the DCIs as DCIs in science.
- examines whether materials inappropriately include DCIs from below the grade band with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs.
- examines whether materials inappropriately include DCIs from above the grade with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning.

**Research or Standards connection:**

Science is both a body of knowledge that represents current understanding of natural systems and the process whereby that body of knowledge has been established and is being continually extended, refined, and revised. Both elements are essential: one cannot make progress in science without an understanding of both. Likewise, in learning science one must come to understand both the body of knowledge and the process by which this knowledge is established, extended, refined, and revised. (Taking Science to School, p. 27)

...through discussion and reflection, students can come to realize that scientific inquiry embodies a set of values. These values include respect for the importance of logical thinking, precision, open-mindedness, objectivity, skepticism, and a requirement for transparent research procedures and honest reporting of findings. (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 248)

The continuing expansion of scientific knowledge makes it impossible to teach all the ideas related to a given discipline in exhaustive detail during the K-12 years. But given
the cornucopia of information available today virtually at a touch—people live, after all, in an information age—an important role of science education is not to teach “all the facts” but rather to prepare students with sufficient core knowledge so that they can later acquire additional information on their own. (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, pp. 31-32)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Taking Science To School
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix E: Disciplinary Core Idea Progressions
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices
- NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts

**Indicator 2c Guiding Question:**
Do the materials inappropriately include scientific content and ideas outside of the grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs)?

**Evidence Collection**

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.

Review the grade and series scope and sequence.

Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine whether the materials include non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal, aesthetic, religious, existential) as science.
- Determine whether the materials inappropriately include scientific content or ideas outside of the DCIs.
- Determine whether the materials inappropriately include DCIs from below the grade with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs.
- Determine whether the materials inappropriately include DCIs from above the grade with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials include non-scientific content or ideas (e.g., moral, societal,
aesthetic, religious, existential) as science?

- Do the materials inappropriately include scientific content or ideas outside of the DCIs?
- Do the materials inappropriately include DCIs from below the grade with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs?
- Do the materials inappropriately include DCIs from above the grade with no meaningful connections to the grade-level DCIs or supports for extending the grade-level learning? (Note: CCCs and SEPs are addressed in indicator 2a)
**Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 2.1</th>
<th>Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 2d</td>
<td>Materials incorporate all grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2d.i. Physical Sciences  
2d.ii. Life Sciences  
2d.iii. Earth and Space Sciences  
2d.iv. Engineering, Technology, and Applications of Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2d.i Scoring:</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>- Materials incorporate all grade-level components and associated elements of the Physical Science DCIs.</td>
<td>- Materials do not incorporate all grade-level components of the Physical Science DCIs. OR - Materials exclude multiple grade-level elements of the Physical Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>- Materials incorporate all grade-level components and nearly all the associated elements of the Physical Science DCIs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2d.ii Scoring:</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>- Materials incorporate all grade-level components and associated elements of the Life Science DCIs.</td>
<td>- Materials do not incorporate all grade-level components of the Life Science DCIs. OR - Materials exclude multiple grade-level elements of the Life Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>- Materials incorporate all grade-level components and nearly all the associated elements of the Life Science DCIs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2d.iii Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Materials incorporate all grade-level</td>
<td>• Materials incorporate all grade-level and nearly all the</td>
<td>• Materials do not incorporate all grade-level components of the Earth and Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>components and associated elements of</td>
<td>associated elements of the Earth and Space Science DCIs.</td>
<td>Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Earth and Space Science DCIs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Materials incorporate nearly all the associated</td>
<td>• Materials exclude multiple grade-level elements of the Earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grade-level elements of the Earth and Space Science</td>
<td>and Space Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCIs.</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>• Materials exclude multiple grade-band or grade-level elements of the Engineering,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology, and Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2d.iv Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Materials incorporate all grade-band</td>
<td>• Materials incorporate all grade-band and nearly all the</td>
<td>• Materials do not incorporate all grade-band or grade-level components of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>components and associated elements of</td>
<td>associated elements of the Engineering, Technology, and</td>
<td>Engineering, Technology, and Applications of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Engineering, Technology, and</td>
<td>Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
<td>Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Materials incorporate nearly all the</td>
<td>• Materials incorporate nearly all the associated grade-level</td>
<td>• Materials exclude multiple grade-band or grade-level elements of the Engineering,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>associated grade-level elements of the</td>
<td>grade-level elements of the Engineering, Technology, and</td>
<td>Technology, and Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering, Technology, and Applications</td>
<td>Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Science DCIs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Materials do not incorporate all grade-band or grade-level components of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering, Technology, and Applications of Science DCIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator
• examines the materials to determine if all grade-level DCIs and their elements are included across the grade.
  o Physical Sciences (2d.i)
  o Life Sciences (2d.ii)
  o Earth and Space Sciences (2d.iii)
  o Engineering, Technology, and Applications of Science (2d.iv)

- Because the ETS DCIs are written for the grade-band and not individual grade levels, this report looks for evidence of the grade-band DCIs across the entire band and looks for grade-level evidence of ETS DCIs embedded in physical, life, or earth and space science PEs. The 2d.iv report will include all three grades within the band.

Research or Standards connection:
"Conclusion 5: Proficiency in science involves having knowledge of facts and concepts as well as how these ideas and concepts are related to each other. Thus, to become more expert in science, students need to learn key ideas and concepts, how they are related to each other, and their implications and applications within the discipline. This entails a process of conceptual development that in some cases involves large-scale reorganization of knowledge and is not a simple accumulation of information." (Taking Science to School pp. 338-339)

"An education focused on a limited set of ideas and practices in science and engineering should enable students to evaluate and select reliable sources of scientific information and allow them to continue their development well beyond their K-12 school years as science learners, users of scientific knowledge, and perhaps also as producers of such knowledge." (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 31)

"In organizing Dimension 3, we grouped disciplinary ideas into four major domains: the physical sciences; the life sciences; the earth and space sciences; and engineering, technology, and applications of science. At the same time, true to Dimension 2, we acknowledge the multiple connections among domains. Indeed, more and more frequently, scientists work in interdisciplinary teams that blur traditional boundaries. As a consequence, in some instances core ideas, or elements of core ideas, appear in several disciplines (e.g., energy, human impact on the planet)." (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 31).

"...by building a strong base of core knowledge and competencies, understood in sufficient depth to be used, students will leave school better grounded in scientific knowledge and practices—and with greater interest in further learning in science—than when instruction “covers” multiple disconnected pieces of information that are memorized and soon forgotten once the test is over." (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, pp. 32-33)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
Indicator 2d Guiding Question:
Do the materials incorporate all grade-level Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs)?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine where students develop grade-level understanding of each of the four DCIs and their components.
- Determine where students develop understanding of each grade-level appropriate element of each Disciplinary Core Idea. Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met.
- Determine where DCIs connect across lessons, units, and/or grades in a way that allows students to use and build DCI-related knowledge to reach grade-level expectations.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities that include each disciplinary core idea and associated elements.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials incorporate all grade-level DCIs and their components, based on the PEs for the grade? For the ETS DCIs (2d.iv), look at individual grades and across the entire grade band.
- Do the materials incorporate all grade-level elements for each DCI across the grade level? Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met. For the ETS DCIs (2d.iv), look at individual grades and across the entire grade band.
## Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 2.1</th>
<th>Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Indicator 2e | Materials incorporate all grade-level Science and Engineering Practices.  
2e.i. Materials incorporate grade-level appropriate SEPs within each grade.  
2e.ii. Materials incorporate all SEPs across the grade band. |

### 2e.i Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 points</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Materials incorporate all grade-level SEPs and nearly all the associated grade-level elements.  
     AND  
• Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-level appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the level. | • Materials incorporate all grade-level SEPs and nearly all the associated grade-level elements.  
     OR  
• Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-level appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the level. | • Materials do not incorporate all grade-level SEPs within the grade.  
     OR  
• Materials include numerous elements of SEPs from above or below the grade band without connecting to the grade-level appropriate SEP.  
     OR  
• Materials exclude multiple grade-level elements of the SEPs. |

### 2e.ii Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 points</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Materials incorporate all SEPs and associated elements within the grade band.  
     AND | • Materials incorporate all SEPs and nearly all the associated elements within the grade band. | • Materials do not incorporate all SEPs within the grade band.  
     OR |
Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the band. AND Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the band. OR Materials exclude multiple grade-band elements of the SEPs. OR Materials do not provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the band.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator
- examines the materials to determine if the grade-level appropriate SEPs and their associated elements are included in each grade.
  - Asking Questions and Defining Problems
  - Developing and Using Models
  - Planning and Carrying Out Investigations
  - Analyzing and Interpreting Data
  - Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking (not addressed in K-2 PEs)
  - Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions
  - Engaging in Argument from Evidence
  - Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information
- examines the materials to determine if SEPs from outside of the grade band are included within the grade.
- examines the materials to determine if the grade-band SEPs and their associated elements are included in each grade band.

Research or Standards connection:

“Engaging in the practices of science helps students understand how scientific knowledge develops; such direct involvement gives them an appreciation of the wide range of approaches that are used to investigate, model, and explain the world. Engaging in the practices of engineering likewise helps students understand the work of engineers, as well as the links between engineering and science. Participation in these practices also helps students form an understanding of the crosscutting concepts and disciplinary ideas of science and engineering; moreover, it makes students’ knowledge more meaningful and embeds it more deeply into their worldview.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 42)
“Although not every such practice will occur in every context, the curriculum should provide repeated opportunities across various contexts for students to develop their facility with these practices and use them as a support for developing deep understanding of the concepts in question and of the nature of science and of engineering.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 247)

“Students in grades K-12 should engage in all eight practices over each grade band. All eight practices are accessible at some level to young children; students’ abilities to use the practices grow over time. However, the NGSS only identifies the capabilities students are expected to acquire by the end of each grade band (K-2, 3-5, 6-8, and 9-12). Curriculum developers and teachers determine strategies that advance students’ abilities to use the practices." (NGSS Appendix F, p. 2)

Resources:
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- NGSS Appendix F: Science and Engineering Practices

Indicator 2e Guiding Question:
Do the materials incorporate all grade-level Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs)?

Evidence Collection
Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:

2e.i
- Determine where students develop and use grade-level appropriate SEPs and their elements. Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met.
- Detail how the SEPs are organized. Note how the SEPs build and connect across the grade level (to support the narrative report).

2e.ii
- Determine if all grade-band appropriate SEPs are addressed across the grade band.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities across the grades to detail incorporation of all grade-band SEPs and associated elements.
- Determine how students repeatedly use grade-band appropriate SEPs across various contexts, and across the band (K-2 or 3-5).
- Detail how the SEPs are organized. Note how the SEPs build and connect across the grade band (to support the narrative report).

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

For 2e.i: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials incorporate the grade-level appropriate SEPs within the grade based on the PEs for the grade?
- Do the materials incorporate all grade-level elements for each SEP across the grade, based on the PEs for the grade? Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met.
- Do the materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-level appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the grade.
- Do the materials incorporate SEP elements from outside of the grade band?
- Do the SEPs build and connect across the grade level and grade band (to support the narrative report)?

For 2e.ii: Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the materials incorporate the grade-band appropriate SEPs, based on the PEs for the grade band?
- Do the materials incorporate all grade-level elements for each SEP across the grade band, based on the PEs for the grade band? Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met.
- Do the materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-level appropriate SEPs across various contexts throughout the grade band.
## Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

### Criterion 2.1

Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.

### Indicator 2f

Materials incorporate all grade-band Crosscutting Concepts.

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8 points</th>
<th>4 points</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Materials incorporate all CCCs and associated elements within the grade band. AND ● Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate CCCs across various contexts throughout the band.</td>
<td>● Materials incorporate all CCCs and nearly all the associated elements within the grade band. AND ● Materials provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate CCCs across various contexts throughout the band.</td>
<td>● Materials do not incorporate all CCCs within the grade band. OR ● Materials exclude multiple grade-band elements of the CCCs. OR ● Materials do not provide repeated opportunities for students to use grade-band appropriate CCCs across various contexts throughout the band.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator

- examines the materials to determine if the grade-level appropriate CCCs and their associated elements are included in each grade band.
  - Patterns
  - Cause and effect: Mechanism and explanation
  - Scale, proportion, and quantity
  - Systems and system models
  - Energy and matter
●Structure and function
○Stability and change

● examines the materials to determine if CCCs from outside of the grade band are included within the grade.
● examines the materials to determine if the grade-band CCCs and their associated elements are included in each grade band.

Research or Standards connection:
“...[Crosscutting concepts] bridge disciplinary boundaries, having explanatory value throughout much of science and engineering. These crosscutting concepts were selected for their value across the sciences and in engineering. These concepts help provide students with an organizational framework for connecting knowledge from the various disciplines into a coherent and scientifically based view of the world.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 83)

Resources:
● Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
● A Framework for K-12 Science Education
● Codes for NGSS Elements
● NGSS Appendix G: Crosscutting Concepts

Indicator 2f Guiding Question:
Do the materials incorporate all grade-band Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs)?

Evidence Collection

Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade.
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:
● Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:
● Determine if all grade-level appropriate CCCs, based on the PEs for the grade, are addressed within the grade and across the band (K-2 or 3-5).
● Record the specific examples of lessons or activities across the grades to detail incorporation of all grade band CCCs and associated elements.
● Determine how students repeatedly use grade-band appropriate CCCs across various contexts within the grade and across the band (K-2 or 3-5).

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:
● Do the materials incorporate the grade-level appropriate CCCs within the grade band?
● Do the materials incorporate all grade-level elements for each CCC across the grade band? Note if an element is fully met by the materials or partially met.
● Do the materials incorporate repeated opportunities across various contexts for students to use the CCCs?
### Gateway 2: Coherence & Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 2.1</th>
<th>Coherence and Full Scope of the Three Dimensions: Materials are coherent in design, scientifically accurate, and support grade-band endpoints of all three dimensions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 2g</td>
<td>Materials incorporate NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **2 points**| - Materials incorporate grade-band NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering within individual lessons or activities across the series. Elements from all three of the following categories are included in the materials for the grade band:  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with SEPs,  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with CCCs,  
  - grade-band Engineering elements associated with CCCs. |
| **1 point** | - Materials incorporate grade-band NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering within individual lessons or activities across the series. Elements from two of the following categories are included in the materials for the grade band:  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with SEPs,  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with CCCs,  
  - grade-band Engineering elements associated with CCCs. |
| **0 points**| - Materials do not incorporate grade-band NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering within individual lessons or activities across the series. Elements from zero or one of the following categories are included in the materials for the grade band:  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with SEPs,  
  - grade-band Nature of Science elements associated with CCCs,  
  - grade-band Engineering elements associated with CCCs. |
About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator

- examines the materials to determine if NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering are included in each grade band (K-2 or 3-5).
  - Nature of Science elements associated with SEPs
  - Nature of Science elements associated with CCCs
  - Engineering elements associated with CCCs

Research or Standards connection:

“...[Crosscutting concepts] bridge disciplinary boundaries, having explanatory value throughout much of science and engineering. These crosscutting concepts were selected for their value across the sciences and in engineering. These concepts help provide students with an organizational framework for connecting knowledge from the various disciplines into a coherent and scientifically based view of the world. “(A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 83)

“Additionally, eight understandings with appropriate grade-level outcomes are included as extensions of the science and engineering practices and crosscutting concepts, not as a fourth dimension of standards. (NGSS Appendix H, p. 1)

“There is a broad consensus that these two core ideas belong in the NGSS but a majority of state teams recommended that these ideas could best be illustrated through their connections to the natural science disciplines. There are a number of performance expectations that require students to demonstrate not only their understanding of a core idea in natural science, but also how that idea is supported by evidence derived from certain technological advances. The connection between these core ideas and specific performance expectations is shown in the crosscutting concept foundation box. (NGSS Appendix J, p. 3)

Resources:

- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Taking Science To School
- Codes for NGSS Elements
- Appendix H: Nature of Science
- NGSS Appendix I: Engineering Design in the NGSS
- NGSS Appendix J: Science, Technology, Society, and the Environment

Indicator 2g Guiding Question:

Do the materials incorporate NGSS Connections to Nature of Science (NOS) and Engineering (ENG)?

Evidence Collection
Review the units, chapters and lessons in both student and teacher materials across the grade band (K-2 or 3-5).
Review the grade and series scope and sequence.
Review NGSS progression documents and standards as needed. Use the following document:

- Codes for NGSS Elements

Look for and record evidence to:

- Determine where students develop and use the grade-band appropriate NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering.
- Determine where students develop understanding of grade-band elements of NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering.
- Record the specific examples of lessons or activities for the grade that include NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering and associated grade-band elements.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

- Do the materials incorporate grade-band NGSS Connections to Nature of Science and Engineering elements?
  - Nature of Science elements associated with SEPs
  - Nature of Science elements associated with CCCs
  - Engineering elements associated with CCCs

1.
Criterion 3.1

Teacher Supports
The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.

What is the purpose of this Criterion?

This criterion examines how the materials support teachers:
- in delivering the student and ancillary materials, especially as it relates to students figuring out phenomena and solving problems.
- in understanding the instructional approaches of the program and research-based strategies.
- in improving their own knowledge of the subject beyond the grade level.
- in making connections to college- and career-ready ELA and mathematics standards, and understanding the role of the standards in the context of the overall series.
- in planning for effective instruction that includes appropriate materials, safety precautions, and how caregivers can support student progress and achievement.

Research Connection

“Curricula based on the Framework and resulting standards should integrate the three dimensions—scientific and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas—and follow the progressions articulated in this report. In order to support the vision of this Framework, standards-based curricula in science need to be developed to provide clear guidance that helps teachers support students engaging in scientific practices to develop explanations and models [5, 21-24]. In addition, curriculum materials need to be developed as a multiyear sequence that helps students develop increasingly sophisticated ideas across grades K-12 [5, 25, 26].” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 246)

Teacher materials can support teachers in understanding the connection between instructional activities and three-dimensional learning goals by highlighting how activities support the learning goals. (Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science, p. 21)
Materials should be evaluated by how well they help teachers see when and how activities or text contribute to the learning goals across instructional sequences or book chapters and supplemental resources (Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science, p. 21)

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-10 points</td>
<td>6-8 points</td>
<td>&lt;6 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.1</th>
<th>The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3a</td>
<td>Materials provide teacher guidance with useful annotations and suggestions for how to enact the student materials and ancillary materials, with specific attention to engaging students in figuring out phenomena and solving problems.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Materials provide comprehensive guidance that will assist teachers in presenting the student and ancillary materials. <strong>AND</strong> - Materials include sufficient and useful annotations and suggestions that are presented within the context of the specific learning objectives.</td>
<td>- Materials provide comprehensive guidance that will assist teachers in presenting the student and ancillary materials. <strong>OR</strong> - Materials include sufficient and useful annotations and suggestions that are presented within the context of the specific learning objectives.</td>
<td>- Materials do not provide comprehensive guidance that will assist teachers in presenting the student and ancillary materials. <strong>AND</strong> - Materials do not include sufficient and useful annotations and suggestions that are presented within the context of the specific learning objectives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines the materials to determine whether they contain teacher guidance with sufficient and useful annotations and suggestions for how to enact the student materials and ancillary materials.
Indicator 3a Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide teacher guidance with useful annotations and suggestions for how to enact the student materials and ancillary materials, with specific attention to engaging students in figuring out phenomena and solving problems?

Evidence Collection

Review the materials, both print and digital (if available), across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how the materials include overview sections, annotations, narrative information, or other documents that will assist the teacher in presenting the student material and/or ancillary materials.
- Describe how information and guidance provided by the materials is useful for planning instruction. Look for suggestions about instructional strategies and guidance for presenting the content (specifically how to support students in figuring out phenomena and/or solving problems), which could include identifying and addressing student naive conceptions. These are often in the planning sections as well as margin notes, but could also be in the front matter philosophy, professional development, or explanations of program components.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- How are the materials structured to provide information that will assist the teacher in presenting the student material or ancillary materials?
- How do the materials provide specific guidance to plan instruction and support students in the content (specifically how to support students in figuring out phenomena and/or solving problems)?
- How do the materials support teachers in understanding what students should understand about the targeted phenomenon or problem?
- Where do the teacher materials explicitly state the phenomenon or problem that is the focus of the student learning?
- Where do the teacher materials provide explanations to the teacher for how the phenomenon or problem is connected to the dimensions (including engineering and nature of science elements) targeted in the instruction?
- How well do the materials support teachers in helping students make the connections between the phenomenon or problem, the instructional activities and the three dimensions?
### Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.1</th>
<th>The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3b</td>
<td>Materials contain adult-level explanations and examples of the more complex grade/course-level concepts and concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Materials contain adult-level explanations and examples of the more complex grade/course-level concepts so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject. <strong>AND</strong> - Materials contain adult-level explanations and examples of concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.</td>
<td>- Materials contain adult-level explanations and examples of the more complex grade/course-level concepts so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject. <strong>OR</strong> - Materials do not contain adult-level explanations and examples of concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.</td>
<td>- Materials do not contain adult-level explanations and examples of the more complex grade/course-level concepts so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject. <strong>AND</strong> - Materials do not contain adult-level explanations and examples of concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the materials to determine whether they deepen teacher understanding of science and engineering ideas, concepts, and practices so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.

Indicator 3b Guiding Question:
Do the materials contain adult-level explanations and examples of the more complex grade/course-level concepts and concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject?

Evidence Collection

Review the materials, both print and digital (if available), across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how the materials provide complete adult-level explanations and examples that support the teacher in developing their own understanding of the content and expected student practices.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Where do the teacher materials provide background content knowledge that is accurate, understandable, and gives true assistance to all educators using the materials?
- Where are supports provided for teachers to develop their own understanding of more advanced, grade-level concepts?
- Where are supports provided for teachers to develop their own understanding of concepts beyond the current course?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

| Criterion 3.1 | The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content. |
| Indicator 3c | Materials include standards correlation information, including connections to college- and career-ready ELA and mathematics standards, that explains the role of the standards in the context of the overall series. |

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ● Correlation information is present for the science, ELA, and mathematics standards addressed throughout the grade level/series. **AND**  
● Explanations of the role of the specific grade-level/grade-band science, ELA, and mathematics are present in the context of the series. | ● Correlation information is present for the science standards addressed throughout the series, but ELA and/or mathematics standards correlation information is inconsistent or not present. **OR**  
● Explanations of the role of the specific grade-level/grade-band science are present in the context of the series, but ELA or mathematics explanations are inconsistent or not present. | ● Correlation information is not consistently present for the science standards addressed throughout the series. **OR**  
● Explanations of the role of the specific grade-level/grade-band science, ELA, or mathematics in the context of the series are not offered. |

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines whether materials provide documentation of how each lesson and unit correlate to the NGSS and Common Core State Standards for ELA and Mathematics and whether materials provide explanations of the role of the standards at each unit/module in the context of the overall series.
Research or Standards connection:
“Recommendation 12: The standards for the sciences and engineering should align coherently with those for other K-12 subjects. Alignment with the Common Core Standards in mathematics and English/language arts is especially important.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 306)

It is important to note that a focus on student discourse is covered in Indicator 3b. While lessons that are aligned to Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts might include student engagement in discourse, this indicator looks at whether the teacher materials document alignment to Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics.

Resources:
- Common Core State Standards - Mathematics
- Common Core State Standards - English Language Arts
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

Indicator 3c Guiding Question:
Do the materials include standards correlation information, including connections to college- and career-ready ELA and mathematics standards, that explains the role of the standards in the context of the overall series?

Evidence Collection
Review the print and digital (if available) table of contents, pacing guides, scope and sequence, and other teacher materials.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where teacher materials provide NGSS standards correlations for science. Note at what level (unit, lesson, activity) these correlations are provided.
- Describe how and where teacher materials provide an explanation for the role of these NGSS standards in the context of the overall series. Note at what level (unit, lesson, activity) these explanations are provided.
- Describe how and where teacher materials provide standards correlation information to applicable Common Core ELA and Mathematics Standards. Note at what level (unit, lesson, activity) these correlations are provided.
- Describe how and where teacher materials provide an explanation for the role of these Common Core ELA and Mathematics Standards in the context of the overall series. Note at what level (unit, lesson, activity) these explanations are provided.

Note:
- if standards correlation is inaccurate.
- if information is included to allow the teacher to make prior connections and teach for connections to future content.

## Cluster Meeting

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How and where do materials provide accurate documentation of how units, lessons, or activities align to NGSS standards?
- How and where do materials provide accurate documentation of how units, lessons, or activities align to applicable Common Core ELA and Mathematics standards?
- How and where do materials provide an explanation of the role identified standards play in the context of the overall series?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.1</th>
<th>The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3d</td>
<td>Materials provide strategies for informing all stakeholders, including students, parents, or caregivers about the program and suggestions for how they can help support student progress and achievement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only**

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

**About this indicator:**

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines the series to determine if the materials contain strategies for informing students, parents, or caregivers about the program, and it also examines the series to determine if the materials contain suggestions for how parents or caregivers can help support student progress and achievement.

**Indicator 3d Guiding Question:**

Do the materials provide strategies for informing all stakeholders, including students, parents, or caregivers about the program and suggestions for how they can help support student progress and achievement?

**Evidence Collection**

Look at both print and digital (if available) student materials and teacher materials, including beginning sections of the entire course, unit, chapter, or lesson that contains overview sections, teacher instruction pages, or ancillary supports for a narrative explanation of the content in each topic, paying attention to key instruction that will inform others that may be assisting the student's progress.

Look for and record evidence to:

- Describe where the materials contain strategies for informing students, parents, or caregivers about the science program. Look for forms of
communication with parents and caregivers, including for families that may speak and read in a language other than English.

- Describe where the materials contain suggestions for how parents or caregivers can help support student progress and achievement. Look for any work that notes a school-to-home connection.
- Note whether any materials for parents (like letters home) have been translated into languages other than English. If so, list the available languages.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Where do the materials contain overview sections, teacher instruction pages, or ancillary supports that contain strategies for informing students, parents, or caregivers about the science program, including for families that may speak and read a language other than English?
- Where do the materials contain overview sections, teacher instruction pages, or ancillary supports that contain suggestions for how parents or caregivers can help support student progress and achievement?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

Criteria 3.1
The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.

Indicator 3e
Materials provide explanations of the instructional approaches of the program and identification of the research-based strategies.

Scoring:

2 points
- Materials explain the instructional approaches of the program.
- AND
- Materials include and reference research-based strategies.

1 point
- Materials explain the instructional approaches of the program.
- OR
- Materials include and reference research-based strategies.

0 points
- Materials do not explain the instructional approaches of the program.
- AND
- Materials do not include and reference research-based strategies.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the materials to determine whether they explain the instructional approaches of the program and whether they identify research-based strategies that have informed the design of the materials.

Indicator 3e Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide explanations of the instructional approaches of the program and identification of the research-based strategies?

Evidence Collection
Review the materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where the materials explain the instructional approaches of the program.
- Describe how and where the materials identify and reference research-based strategies that are used in the design.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Where and how well do the materials explain the instructional approaches of the program?
- Where and how well do the materials identify and reference research-based strategies used in and throughout the program?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

| Criterion 3.1 | The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content. |
| Indicator 3f | Materials provide a comprehensive list of supplies needed to support instructional activities. |

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Materials include a comprehensive list of supplies needed to support the instructional activities.</td>
<td>● Materials do not include a comprehensive list of supplies needed to support instructional activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**About this indicator:**

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the series to determine if the materials contain a comprehensive list of materials needed to support implementation.

**Indicator 3f Guiding Question:**
Do the materials provide a comprehensive list of supplies needed to support instructional activities?

**Evidence Collection**
Review the materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Determine whether a comprehensive list of required materials/supplies is provided.

**Cluster Meeting**

During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Does the series provide a comprehensive list of required materials/supplies? At what level(s) is the support provided (course, unit/module, lesson, etc.)?
- Is this provided in a single document or location?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

| Criterion 3.1 | The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content. |
| Indicator 3g | Materials provide clear science safety guidelines for teachers and students across the instructional materials. |

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Materials embed clear science safety guidelines for teachers and students across the instructional materials.</td>
<td>• Materials do not embed science safety guidelines for teachers and students across the instructional material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**
This indicator examines the series to determine if the materials embed clear science safety guidelines for teacher and students across the instructional materials.

**Note:** This indicator is not meant to replace all districts and schools consulting and abiding by local science and laboratory safety guidelines.

**Research or Standards connection:**
“Teachers also need opportunities to develop the knowledge and practices to support these investigations, including how to prepare, organize, and maintain materials; implement safety protocols; organize student groups; and guide students as they collect, represent, analyze, discuss data, argue from evidence, and draw conclusions [80].” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 258)

**Resources:**
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

**Indicator 3g Guiding Question:**
Do the materials provide clear science safety guidelines for teachers and students across the instructional materials?
## Evidence Collection

Review the materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where the materials embed clear science safety guidelines for teachers and students.

## Cluster Meeting

### During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- How and where do the materials embed clear science safety guidelines for teachers and students?
- Are the guidelines present across the materials?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.1
The program includes opportunities for teachers to effectively plan and utilize materials with integrity and to further develop their own understanding of the content.

Indicator 3h
Materials designated for each grade are feasible and flexible for one school year.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only
Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the materials to determine if the amount of time suggested in the materials for each grade is appropriate for a school year, if the expectations of the materials are reasonable for both teachers and students to complete in the suggested timeframe, and if the materials provide guidance to adjust to fit a range of instructional times or different schedules.

Indicator 3h Guiding Question:
Are the materials designated for each grade feasible and flexible for one school year?

Evidence Collection
Review the materials across the series, including the table of contents, any pacing guides, and scope and sequence provided by the publisher.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how the materials within each lesson or unit allow students to learn at an appropriate pace for the given grade level.
- Identify whether students should be able to master all the content designated for the grade level.
- (K-5 only) Describe how the materials provide guidance on adjustments to fit districts with different needs based on time restrictions, including rationale on what can be cut, including tradeoffs and how materials...
provide support for adjusting to fit different schedules and blocks available for teaching science.

Note: If the publishers do not provide recommended pacing or structure, assume \( \frac{1}{3} \) of the materials for a grade band constitute one year.

## Cluster Meeting

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Do the materials within each lesson or unit allow students to learn at an appropriate pace for the given grade level?
- Will students be able to master all of the content designated for the grade level?
- Do the materials provide guidance to adjust for a range of district constraints (time and scheduling)?
**Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is the purpose of this Criterion?**

This criterion

- examines how the materials measure individual student progress towards the standards and elements over time.
- examines how the materials provide guidance to monitor and move student learning.
- examines how the materials indicate which standards are assessed and provide accommodations while still assessing the intent of the standards.

**Research Connection**

"Assessment activities will be critical supports for this instruction. Students will need guidance about what is expected of them and opportunities to reflect on their performance as they develop proficiencies. Teachers will need information about what students understand and can do so they can adapt their instruction. Instruction that is aligned with the framework and the NGSS will naturally provide many opportunities for teachers to observe and record evidence of students learning. The student activities that reflect such learning include developing and refining models; generating, discussing, and analyzing data; engaging in both spoken and written explanations and argumentation; and reflecting on their own understanding. Such opportunities are the basis for the development of assessments of three-dimensional science learning." (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 5)

"...ensure that assessment activities included in such materials (such as mid- and end-of-chapter activities, suggested tasks for unit assessment, and online activities) require students to engage in practices that demonstrate their understanding of core ideas and crosscutting concepts. These materials should also attend to multiple dimensions of diversity (e.g., by connecting with students’ cultural and linguistic..."
“Students will likely need repeated exposure to investigations and tasks aligned to the framework and the NGSS performance expectations, guidance about what is expected of them, and opportunities for reflection on their performance to develop these proficiencies, as discussed in Chapter 2. The kind of instruction that will be effective in teaching science in the way the framework and the NGSS envision will require students to engage in science and engineering practices in the context of disciplinary core ideas—and to make connections across topics through the crosscutting ideas. Such instruction will include activities that provide many opportunities for teachers to observe and record evidence of student thinking, such as when students develop and refine models; generate, discuss, and analyze data; engage in both spoken and written explanations and argumentation; and reflect on their own understanding of the core idea and the subtopic at hand (possibly in a personal science journal).” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 87)

**Scoring:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-10 points</td>
<td>7-8 points</td>
<td>&lt;7 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.2
The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the standards.

Indicator 3i
Assessment information is included in the materials to indicate which standards are assessed.

Scoring:

2 points
- Materials consistently identify the standards (and their elements) assessed for formal assessments.

1 point
- Materials identify the standards (and their elements) assessed for some of the formal assessments.

OR
- Materials consistently identify the standards (and their elements) assessed for formal assessments, but do not include all standards for the grade level or band.

0 points
- Materials do not identify the standards (and their elements) assessed for formal assessments.

OR
- Materials identify the standards (and their elements) assessed for some of the formal assessments, but do not include all standards for the grade level or band.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the assessment materials to determine whether they identify the standards (performance expectations) being assessed for all formal assessment types. It is important to note that some assessments may be building toward the performance expectation(s) and not intended to measure full depth of the performance expectation(s); these assessments should identify which elements of the performance expectation(s) are being assessed and/or being built toward.

Formal assessments are determined by the publisher and could include all types: formative, summative, etc. Reviewers look for a list of standards assessed for the entire
assessment and/or associated with each item/task. Reviewers look for evidence of identification only.

Resources:
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report

**Indicator 3i Guiding Question:**
Does assessment information included in the materials indicate which standards are assessed?

**Evidence Collection**

Review assessments and corresponding assessment guidance across the series.
*Note: this is not an item analysis.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where assessments clearly identify which standards are being assessed. Include the level at which the assessment is given (unit, lesson, etc.) and the level at which standards are identified (assessment, task, item, etc.).
- Describe any instances where standards are listed incorrectly or are not from the appropriate grade level/band.
- In the event that the assessments build toward grade-level or grade-band performance expectations, describe whether the assessment information identifies which elements of the performance expectations are assessed. Also, describe how and where the materials include information that details how the assessments build toward the performance expectations for the grade level or band.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Where and how do the materials clearly identify which standards are assessed?
- Do the standards correlations or assessment guidance documents indicate if all standards (performance expectations) for the grade level/band are assessed by the end of the grade level/band?
- If assessments are building towards the performance expectations, do the materials identify which elements of the performance expectations are being assessed and how these contribute to building toward grade-level/grade-band performance expectations?
### Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

**Criterion 3.2**
The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the standards.

**Indicator 3j**
Assessment system provides multiple opportunities throughout the grade, course, and/or series to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance and suggestions for follow-up.

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 points</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ● Assessment system provides multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance. **AND**
● Assessment system provides multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and suggestions to teachers for following-up with students. | ● Assessment system provides multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance but does not provide suggestions for following-up with students. **AND**
● Assessment system provides multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and suggestions to teachers for following-up with students. | ● Assessment system does not provide multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance. **AND**
● Assessment system does not provide multiple opportunities to determine students' learning and suggestions to teachers for following-up with students. |
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About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines assessments and corresponding assessment guidance across the series, including answer keys, rubrics, and other assessment scoring tools (e.g., sample student responses, scoring guidelines, and open-ended feedback), guidance for teachers to interpret student performance, and suggestions for follow-up based on student performance.

Research or Standards connection:
“It is possible to design assessment tasks and scoring rubrics that assess three-dimensional science learning. Such assessments provide evidence that informs teachers and students of the strengths and weaknesses of a student’s current understanding, which can guide further instruction and student learning and can also be used to evaluate students’ learning.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, conclusion 4-3, p. 130)

Resources:
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report

Indicator 3j Guiding Question:
Does the assessment system provide multiple opportunities throughout the grade, course, and/or series to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance and suggestions for follow-up?

Evidence Collection
Review assessments and corresponding assessment guidance across the series, including answer keys, rubrics, and other assessment scoring tools.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how assessments provide tools for scoring purposes (e.g., sample student responses, rubrics, scoring guidelines, and open-ended feedback).
- Describe whether guidance is provided to teachers to interpret student understanding. Look for task-specific scoring guidance to help determine if a student has met the expectations.
- Describe whether teachers are provided with guidance to respond to student needs elicited by the assessment. Record evidence about follow-up steps/suggestions provided for the teacher.
During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How and where do the materials provide tools to score assessment items?
- Is guidance consistently provided to teachers to interpret student understandings?
- Are teachers consistently provided with guidance to respond to student needs elicited by the assessment?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.2: The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the standards.

Indicator 3k: Assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band standards and elements across the series.

Scoring:

- **4 points**
  - Assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band standards and elements across the series.

- **2 points**
  - Assessments do not include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band standards across the series.
  - OR
  - Assessments do not include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band elements across the series.

- **0 points**
  - Assessments do not include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band standards and elements across the series.

About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines the assessments within and across the materials to determine whether they include a variety of assessment types that are constructed in a manner that allows for the depth of the grade-level/grade-band standards and elements to be assessed.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“Because NGSS-aligned instruction will naturally involve a range of activities, classroom assessment that is integral to instruction will need to involve a corresponding variation in
the types of evidence it provides about student learning.” (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 87)

“…designing multiple and varied forms of assessment is key to appropriately determining student proficiency in science and using assessments to improve instruction.” (STEM Teaching Tools, Brief 34)

Resources:
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report
- STEM Teaching Tools 34: Designing an Assessment System that Measures Three-Dimensional Science Learning

**Indicator 3k Guiding Question:**
Do the assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level/grade-band standards and elements across the series?

**Evidence Collection**

Review assessments and corresponding assessment guidance across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe the different types of modalities (e.g., writing, illustrating, demonstrating, modeling, oral presentations, and performance tasks) used for student assessments.
- Describe the different types of items used for student assessments and how they are used to measure student performance (e.g., performance tasks, discussion questions, constructed response questions, project- or problem-based tasks, portfolios, justified multiple choice).
- Describe whether assessment tasks incorporate sufficient complexity to assess the depth of the performance expectations.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Do the assessments include a variety of modalities (e.g., writing, illustrating, demonstrating, modeling, oral presentations, and performance tasks) and how are they used across different assessments, grades/courses, and series?
- Do the assessments include a variety of item types (e.g., performance tasks, discussion questions, constructed response questions, project- or problem-based tasks, portfolios, justified multiple choice) and how are they used across different assessments, grades/courses, and series?
Do assessment tasks incorporate sufficient complexity to assess the depth of the performance expectations?
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Criterion 3.2
The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the standards.

Indicator 3I
Assessments offer accommodations that allow students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills without changing the content of the assessment.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only
Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the series' assessments and assessment guidance documentation to determine what accommodations are available.

Indicator 3I Guiding Question:
Do the assessments offer accommodations that allow students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills without changing the content of the assessment?

Evidence Collection
Review assessments and corresponding assessment guidance across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe where and how accommodations are offered that ensure all students can access the assessment, (e.g., text to speech, increased font size, etc.) without changing the content of the assessment.
- Describe any guidance for teachers on the use of provided accommodations.
- Describe whether any accommodations alter grade-level/course expectations or the content of the assessment for students.
- Describe any guidance provided for teachers to account for varied levels of English language proficiency without changing the content of the assessment, yet still allowing ELs to show grade level mastery regardless of language ability.
- Describe any guidance provided for teachers to allow for students with disabilities to demonstrate their knowledge and skills without changing the content of the assessment.

### Cluster Meeting

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Where and how do the assessments provide accommodations for students?
- Where and how is guidance provided for teachers to use the accommodations?
- Do accommodations alter grade-level/course expectations for students?
- Are current instructional supports for English Learners maintained through the assessment process?
- Are current instructional supports for Students with Disabilities maintained through the assessment process?
Gateway 3:
Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.3

Student Supports
The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.

What is the purpose of this Criterion?

This criterion examines how the materials:
- leverage diverse cultural and social backgrounds of students.
- provide appropriate support, accommodations, and modifications for special populations that support regular and active participation in learning science and engineering.
- provide multiple access points for students at varying ability levels to make sense of phenomena and design solutions to problems.
- include multi-modal opportunities for students to share their thinking.
- represent people of various demographic and physical characteristics.
- provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies.
- are made accessible by providing appropriate supports for different reading levels.

Research Connection

"Inclusive instructional strategies encompass a range of techniques and approaches that build on students’ interests and backgrounds so as to engage them more meaningfully and support them in sustained learning. These strategies, which also have been shown to promote educational equity in learning science and engineering, must be attended to as standards are translated into curriculum, instruction, and assessment." (Framework, p. 283)

"…students learn science in large part through their active involvement in the practices of science. A classroom environment that provides opportunities for students to participate in scientific and engineering practices engages them in tasks that require social interaction, the use of scientific discourse (that leverages community discourse when possible), and the application of scientific representations and tools. SEPs can actually serve as productive entry points for students from diverse communities—including students from different social and linguistic traditions, particularly second-language learners." (Framework, p. 283)
“Everyday experience provides a rich base of knowledge and experience to support conceptual changes in science. Students bring cultural funds of knowledge that can be leveraged, combined with other concepts, and transformed into scientific concepts over time.” (Framework, p. 283)

“Conclusion 6: Race/ethnicity, language, culture, gender, and socioeconomic status are among the factors that influence the knowledge and experience children bring to the classroom. This diversity offers richness and opportunities in the classroom, and it also affects the kinds of support children need to learn science.” (Taking Science to School, p. 340)

Resources:
- NGSS Appendix D: All Standards, All Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring:</th>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Partially Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 points</td>
<td>4-5 points (*with no 0s)</td>
<td>&lt;4 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3m</td>
<td>Materials provide strategies and supports for students in special populations to support their regular and active participation in learning grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>• Materials regularly provide strategies, supports, and resources for students in special populations to support their regular and active participation and engagement in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>• Materials do not regularly provide strategies, supports, and resources for students in special populations to support their regular and active participation and engagement in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>• There are no strategies, supports, or resources for students in special populations to support their regular and active participation and engagement in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

#### What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines whether the materials provide strategies, supports, and resources for students in special populations to support their regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.

#### Research or Standards connection:

For this indicator, special populations refers to students that must overcome barriers that may require special consideration and attention to ensure equal opportunity for success and in an educational setting.

#### Resources:

EdReports Evidence Guide Science K-5 v1.5

Updated: 1/2022
Indicator 3m Guiding Question:
What opportunities are there for students in special populations to engage with materials to support ongoing participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering content?

Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe where and how the materials provide specific strategies and supports for differentiating instruction to meet the needs of students in special populations.
- Identify whether the materials support students in special populations in regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering and include any instances where differentiation does not present opportunities to engage students in the work of the grade level/grade band.
- Describe teacher guidance around using grouping strategies with students in special populations.

Note - There must be more than a statement at the beginning of the chapter or lesson that is generic or states that the same strategy could be used with every lesson.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- How and where do materials provide appropriate differentiated strategies and supports for students in special populations?
- Do materials provide differentiation supports to sufficiently engage students in grade level/grade band science and engineering?
- Do the materials include overarching guidance on strategies and accommodations for special populations? Are these evident in lessons?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3n</td>
<td>Materials provide extensions and/or opportunities for students to engage in learning grade-level/grade-band science and engineering at greater depth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>0 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Materials provide multiple opportunities for advanced students to engage in grade-level/grade-band science at a higher level of complexity. AND - No instances of advanced students doing more assignments than their classmates.</td>
<td>- Materials provide some opportunities for advanced students to engage in grade-level/grade-band science at a higher level of complexity. AND - There are few instances of advanced students doing more assignments than their classmates.</td>
<td>- Materials provide few, if any, opportunities for advanced students to engage in grade-level/grade-band science at a higher level of complexity. AND - There are many instances of advanced students doing more problems than their classmates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines the materials to determine whether the materials provide opportunities for advanced students to engage in grade-level/grade-band science at a higher level of complexity.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“Arguably, the most pressing challenge facing U.S. education is to provide all students with a fair opportunity to learn.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 282)

“Science and engineering practices can actually serve as productive entry points for students from diverse communities...” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 283)
“When people enter into the practices of science or engineering, they do not leave their cultural worldviews at the door. Instruction that fails to recognize this reality can adversely affect student engagement in science. …. Everyday experience provides a rich base of knowledge and experience to support conceptual changes in science. Students bring cultural funds of knowledge that can be leveraged, combined with other concepts, and transformed into scientific concepts over time." (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 284)

Resources:
● A Framework for K-12 Science Education

**Indicator 3n Guiding Questions:**
What opportunities are present for students to engage in learning with grade-level/grade-band science and engineering at higher levels of complexity?

Are the opportunities that are present purposeful investigations or extensions?

Do the opportunities extend learning of the grade-level content or topic?

**Evidence Collection**

Review the student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
● Describe how and where advanced students have opportunities to work at a higher level of complexity with the three dimensions to make sense of phenomena and design solutions to problems. Note - this is not students completing additional tasks or more work, but is an extension of their learning.
● Identify strategies and supports for advanced students to explore grade-level/grade-band content at a higher level of complexity.
● Describe teacher guidance around using grouping strategies with advanced students.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
● Where and how do the materials present opportunities specific to extending students' learning of the grade-level/grade-band content?
● Where and how do the materials present opportunities to students to engage in grade-level/grade-band content at higher level of complexity?
● What opportunities do students have to develop and apply higher-level thinking?
● What strategies and supports are available for students to engage in
grade-level/grade-band content at a higher level of complexity?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3o</td>
<td>Materials provide varied approaches to learning tasks over time and variety in how students are expected to demonstrate their learning with opportunities for students to monitor their learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines the materials for a variety of approaches to learning tasks over the grade level and grade band, a variety of opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning over time, opportunities for students to receive oral and/or written peer or teacher feedback, and opportunities for students to monitor and move their learning.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“For students who need to take more time to express their understanding (e.g., if they learned English as their second language), opportunities to edit or to display their knowledge in less language-embedded tasks would help level the playing field.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 289)

“…developers should highlight how students can demonstrate competence through multiple means of expression and in multiple contexts.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 290)

“As students wrestle with meaningful scientific problems they (1) engage in social interaction, (2) appropriate the language of science, and (3) use scientific representations and tools. These are features that are central to scientific practice and require that teachers and instructional materials provide clear guidance and support for learners as they acquire these practices.” (Taking Science to School, p. 265)

“… uncovering students' incomplete forms of practice and understanding is critical: NGSS-aligned assessments will need to clearly define the forms of evidence associated
with beginning, intermediate, and sophisticated levels of knowledge and practice expected for a particular instructional sequence. A key goal of classroom assessments is to help teachers and students understand what has been learned and what areas will require further attention. NGSS-aligned assessments will also need to identify likely misunderstandings, productive ideas of students that can be built upon, and interim goals for learning." (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 91)

“Classroom assessment probes will need to be designed to generate enough evidence about students’ understandings so that their locations on the intended pathway can be reliably determined, and it is clear what next steps instructional activities) are needed for them to continue to progress." (Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report, p. 91)

Resources:
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education
- Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards, BOTA Report
- Taking Science To School

**Indicator 30 Guiding Question:**
What approaches to presentation of material are provided?

What approaches are provided for students to demonstrate and monitor their learning?

Do the approaches to presentation and demonstration of learning vary over the course of the year?

**Evidence Collection**

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where the materials provide multi-modal opportunities for students to question, investigate, sense-make, and problem-solve using a variety of formats and methods.
- Describe how and where students have opportunities to share their thinking, to demonstrate changes in their thinking over time, and to apply their understanding in new contexts.
- Describe how the program leverages the use of a variety of formats and methods over time to deepen student understanding and ability to explain and apply science ideas.
- Describe if and how materials provide for ongoing review, practice, self-reflection, and feedback.
Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How and where do the materials provide multi-modal opportunities for students to share their thinking, ask questions, investigate, make sense of phenomena, and problem-solve using a variety of formats and methods?
- How and where do students have opportunities to share their thinking, to compare their thinking with other students or to new ideas presented in the learning opportunities, to demonstrate changes in their thinking over time, and to apply their understanding in new contexts?
- Where and how often do the materials provide for ongoing review, practice, self-reflection, and feedback?
- Where and how often do the materials provide guidance for multiple feedback strategies, such as oral and/or written feedback?
- Where and how often do the materials provide guidance for multiple strategies for peer or teacher feedback?
- Where and how often do the materials encourage students to monitor their own progress based on feedback and self-reflection?
- Where and how often do the materials provide a clear path for students to monitor and move their own learning?
Gateway 3:
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Criterion 3.3
The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.

Indicator 3p
Materials provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only
Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the materials to determine the types and frequency of grouping strategies for teachers to use and to determine if guidance is provided to teachers on how and when to use specific grouping strategies.

In addition to general grouping structures and strategies, note evidence for grouping strategies that account for special populations, advanced students, and English learners.

Indicator 3p Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies?

Evidence Collection
Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how and where the materials provide grouping strategies for students.
- Describe how and where the materials provide for interaction among students and the types of interactions provided.
- Describe how and where the materials provide guidance for the teacher on grouping students in a variety of grouping formats.
Note: If you identify grouping strategies specifically targeted to differentiated populations, please assign that evidence to the associated indicators (special populations will be in 3m; advanced students in 3n; English learners in 3q).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>During the cluster meeting:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How and where do materials provide different grouping strategies? How does this differ based on the needs of particular students?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How and where do materials balance whole group, small group, and individual instruction to provide for interaction among students?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How and where do the materials provide guidance for the teacher on how and when to use specific grouping strategies?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Criterion 3.3
The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.

### Indicator 3q
Materials provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to regularly participate in learning grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.

### Scoring:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Materials consistently provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to meet or exceed grade-level standards through regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Materials provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to meet or exceed grade-level standards through regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering, but not consistently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Materials do not provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to meet or exceed grade-level standards through regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines whether teacher and student materials provide strategies, supports, and resources for students who read, write, and/or speak in a primary language other than English to support their regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band science and engineering.

**Research or Standards connection:**

See Appendix D: All Standards, All Students

**Resources:**

- [NGSS Appendix D: All Standards, All Students](#)
Indicator 3q Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to regularly participate in learning grade-level/grade-band science and engineering?

Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how the materials frame their EL approach and supports throughout the program for the explicit purpose of ensuring they are able to meet the standards.
- Describe how the materials provide strategies, appropriate support, and accommodations that will support EL students' regular and active participation. Include opportunities for speaking, listening, reading, and writing to develop practices and knowledge of the subject matter. This may include scaffolding, but should scaffold up towards grade-level work.
- Describe content-specific or lesson-specific strategies or materials provided for supporting all students in engaging in grade-level/grade-band instruction. There must be more than a statement at the beginning of the chapter or lesson that is generic or states that the same strategy could be used with every lesson, and there needs to be specific supports and/or routines that allow students to access grade-level instruction and content.
- Describe teacher guidance to support EL students and to utilize the strategies, supports, and/or accommodations found. Also, describe how the learning opportunities and assessments help teachers identify and follow-up on whether the student has success in content vs. language acquisition, as well as identify when students may have misconceptions with content vs. language demand, to ensure the two are not conflated.
- Describe how the materials incorporate varied approaches to learning tasks over time and variety in how students are expected to demonstrate their learning of grade-level content with opportunities for students to do so in various ways as their language development progresses.
- Describe teacher guidance around using grouping strategies with English Learners. Is there guidance as to how to use language proficiency in grouping students, i.e., when to group students by home language or by language proficiency, either heterogeneously or homogeneously?

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
Where do materials provide appropriate support, and accommodations for EL students that will support their regular and active participation in learning science and engineering?

Where is there evidence of specific resources and strategies supporting all students?

Where are differentiation supports present for EL students?

What materials would help teachers provide lessons and concepts to help support these students?

Are there oral language development activities (speaking and listening) for students that provide pathways, including scaffolds and supports for EL students to engage with grade-level content and to develop disciplinary practices and knowledge of the subject matter? Speaking and listening activities without specific supports for ELs would not be sufficient evidence.

Are there reading and writing activities that engage ELs in topics and prompts with peers and teacher throughout and as an integral part of the reading and writing process to develop disciplinary practices and knowledge of the subject matter?

Do the materials for teachers provide guidance for instructional practices that promote student agency and learner autonomy for ELs?

Do the materials provide guidance for teachers to anticipate and address potential language demands and opportunities that may interfere with engagement of content? Do they clearly identify where both student successes and challenges may be rooted in misconceptions in content vs. language demands, through learning and assessment?

Do the materials support teachers in identifying students at various language levels with guidelines for supporting these students in monitoring and moving their language development along the English proficiency progression?

For ELs still developing their language skills but exceeding in their ability to engage in grade-level content, do the materials allow for them to develop and deepen their understanding of concepts in their primary language?

Are there vocabulary development supports specific to English Learners, not just to the content? If the focused-upon words are needed to grapple with the linguistic demand of the lesson, then they are EL supports. If all students need content vocabulary knowledge to be successful in the lesson, then activities and strategies to learn those content-specific words are not EL strategies.

Are supports for ELs differentiated based on level of language proficiency?

Have we chosen evidence that is specific to supporting the language development of English Learners?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3r</td>
<td>Materials provide a balance of images or information about people, representing various demographic and physical characteristics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only**

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

**About this indicator:**

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**

This indicator examines the series to determine if the materials include a balance of images or information about people, representing various demographic and physical characteristics.

**Research or Standards connection:**

“The diverse knowledge and skills that members of different cultural groups bring to formal and informal science learning contexts are assets to build on.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 288)

“When appropriate and relevant to the science issue at hand, standards documents should explicitly represent the cultural particulars of diverse learning populations throughout the text (e.g., in referenced examples, sample vignettes, performance expectations). Similarly, an effort should be made to include significant contributions of women and of people from diverse cultures and ethnicities. We acknowledge the challenge of creating a set of standards that attempts to represent all salient cultural groups, but that should not be an excuse for excluding them all.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 288)

**Resources:**

- [A Framework for K-12 Science Education](#)
Indicator 3r Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide a balance of images or information about people, representing various demographic and physical characteristics?

Evidence Collection

Review the student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how the materials provide examples of various demographic and physical characteristics.
- Describe if the images and those represented show students engaging in and able to do the work related to the context of the learning.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- How and where do materials and assessments depict different individuals of different genders, races, ethnicities, and other physical characteristics?
- How and where do materials and assessments balance positive portrayals of demographics or physical characteristics? Do names used in assessments, or images throughout the materials depict different genders, races, ethnicities, and other physical characteristics?
- Do the materials avoid stereotypes or language that might be offensive to a particular group?
- Are depictions of demographics or physical characteristics portrayed positively across the series, or is one demographic represented more positively than others? For example, do assessment items proportionately use male and female names for both correct and incorrect responses, or is one gender predominately used for incorrect responses?
- Do the materials provide representations that show students that they can succeed in the subject, going beyond just showing photos of diverse students not engaged in work related to the context of the learning?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3s</td>
<td>Materials provide guidance to encourage teachers to draw upon student home language to facilitate learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

### About this indicator:

**What is the purpose of this Indicator?**
This indicator examines the materials for teacher guidance on connecting learning opportunities to students through use of student home language.

**Indicator 3s Guiding Question:**
Do the materials present multilingualism as an asset in reading, and encourage support teachers of English learners to use their students’ home language strategically for learning how to negotiate texts in the target language?

Do the materials include instructions on how to garner information of a students’ home language that will aid in learning?

### Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe how the materials provide suggestions and strategies to use the home language to support students in learning science.
- Describe how the materials present multilingualism as an asset in reading and learning science, and how to use students’ home language strategically for learning how to negotiate text in the target language.
- Describe how teacher materials include guidance on how to garner information that will aid in learning, including the family’s preferred language.
of communication, schooling experiences in other languages, literacy abilities in other languages, and previous exposure to academic or everyday English. Include whether and how the materials guide teachers to use this information strategically in instruction.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following question to support consensus scoring conversations:

- What strategies are present to utilize student home language in context with the materials? Are these strategies generalized or specific to certain content?
- Do materials promote home language and knowledge as an asset to engage students in the content material?
- Do the materials use student home language as an additional support to gain access to the content, or rely on students understanding the content in their home language?
- Do the materials recognize all languages, or rely on known information about some of the more prevalent languages (i.e., cognates in Spanish)?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3t</td>
<td>Materials provide guidance to encourage teachers to draw upon student cultural and social backgrounds to facilitate learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines whether materials are designed to elicit and leverage diverse cultural and social backgrounds of students.

Research or Standards connection:
“The diverse knowledge and skills that members of different cultural groups bring to formal and informal science learning contexts are assets to build on.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 288)

“Instructional materials can provide a motivating context to engage students by offering contexts that are personally or socio-culturally relevant to them, fostering learning through social and collaborative interactions, using project-based or place-based approaches, and providing activities, such as an engineering design problem, that allow students to experience a challenge and the satisfaction of overcoming the challenge. These kinds of activities situated in a relevant context promote students’ engagement in the practice of science rather than experiencing science as a static set of facts or theories to be learned.” (Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science, p. 33)

“Instruction that builds on prior interest and identity is likely to be as important as instruction that builds on knowledge alone. All students can profit from this approach, but the benefits are particularly salient for those who would feel disenfranchised or disconnected from science should instruction neglect their personal inclinations.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 287)
Related indicator 1h in Gateway 1 focuses on leveraging students prior knowledge and experiences related to phenomena and problems. This indicator is broader in nature and leverages students’ cultural and social backgrounds as assets to increase relevance and student interest and motivation.

Resources:
- [A Framework for K-12 Science Education](#)
- [Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science](#)

**Indicator 3t Guiding Question:**
Do the materials provide guidance to encourage teachers to draw upon student cultural and social backgrounds to facilitate learning?

**Evidence Collection**

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how materials make connections to the linguistic, cultural, and conventions used in learning science.
- Describe if and how materials make connections to the linguistic and cultural diversity to facilitate learning.
- Identify if teacher guidance is present on how to engage culturally diverse students in the learning of science.
- Identify equity guidance and opportunities in the materials.
- Identify opportunities for students to feel “acknowledged” such as tasks based on customs of other cultures.
- Identify prompts where students are encouraged to share how they (or their parents) do things at home or use information to create personal problems, etc.

**Cluster Meeting**

During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- How well do the materials capitalize on diverse cultural and social backgrounds of students?
- How well do the materials help to promote equity and access (across genders, cultures, or countries of origin)?
- How well are the learning goals, instructional activities, text, and images presented in a context designed to leverage diverse cultural and social backgrounds of students?
- How well are the learning goals, instructional activities, text, or images, likely to be relevant, interesting and/or motivating to students?
How well do the materials connect to the students’ funds of knowledge, culture, or community?
Criterion 3.3
The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.

Indicator 3u
Materials provide supports for different reading levels to ensure accessibility for students.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only
Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?
This indicator examines the materials to determine if supports are present for a range of student reading levels to work with grade-level/grade-band science and engineering and to determine if the materials indicate the reading levels for informational text-based components.

Research or Standards connection:
“Students’ preparation in other subjects, especially literacy and mathematics, also affects their achievement in science. If some groups of students fail to become effective readers and writers by late elementary school, teachers have difficulty helping them to make progress—not only in science but also across all subject areas. These students fall further behind, and the problem for teachers grows more complex and challenging. Such dynamics can, in effect, reinforce the low-expectation tracking of students as they move through school, thereby significantly reducing their access to science and engineering pathways through K-12 and limiting the possibility of their going to college.” (A Framework for K-12 Science Education, p. 279)

Resources:
- A Framework for K-12 Science Education

Indicator 3u Guiding Question:
Do the materials provide supports for different reading levels to ensure accessibility for students?

Evidence Collection
Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:

- Describe how and where the materials provide all students, including those who read, write, speak, or listen below grade level, opportunities to work with grade-level text.
- Describe whether materials provide the reading levels for informational text components.

**Cluster Meeting**

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How and where do the materials include specific supports or strategies to modify lessons or activities for students who read, write, speak, or listen below grade level?
- Where do the materials provide scaffolds or supports to support academic and/or disciplinary vocabulary or concept development?
- Do the materials provide teachers and students with purposeful and targeted activities for learning how to read typical scientific texts, for example, identifying evidence?
- Do materials include “just-right” pre-reading activities that offer visuals and other types of supports and scaffolds for building essential and pertinent background knowledge on new or unfamiliar themes/topics?
## Gateway 3:
### Instructional Supports & Usability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.3</th>
<th>The program includes materials designed for each child’s regular and active participation in grade-level/grade-band/series content.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3v</td>
<td><em>This is not an assessed indicator in Science.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.4

Intentional Design
The program includes a visual design that is engaging and references or integrates digital technology, when applicable, with guidance for teachers.

What is the purpose of this Criterion?
This criterion:
- examines how the materials integrate digital technology and interactive tools to support student engagement in the three dimensions of science.
- examines how the materials use digital technology to provide collaborative opportunities for teachers and/or students.
- examines how the embedded technology and visual design supports student engagement and learning.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only
Note: No score is given for this criterion. Only qualitative evidence is provided.
# Gateway 3:
**Instructional Supports & Usability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.4</th>
<th>The program includes a visual design that is engaging and references or integrates digital technology, when applicable, with guidance for teachers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3w</td>
<td>Materials integrate interactive tools and/or dynamic software in ways that support student engagement in the three dimensions, when applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

## About this indicator:

### What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines whether materials integrate interactive tools and/or dynamic software in ways that support student engagement in the three dimensions of science and is applicable to materials with digital components only.

### Indicator 3w Guiding Question:

Do the materials integrate interactive tools and/or dynamic software in ways that support student engagement in the three dimensions, when applicable?

### Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe if and how digital technology and interactive tools, such as data collection tools, simulations, and/or modeling tools are available to students.
- Describe if and how included digital tools support student engagement in the three dimensions of science.
- Describe if and how digital materials can be customized for local use (i.e., to embed local phenomena and problems).

### Cluster Meeting
During the cluster meeting:

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- What digital technology and interactive tools are included in the materials?
- How are digital technology and interactive tools, such as data collection tools, simulations, and/or modeling tools made available to students?
- How do included digital tools support student engagement in the three dimensions of science?
- How can digital materials be customized for local use (i.e., student and/or community interests)?
Gateway 3:
Instructional Supports & Usability

Criterion 3.4

The program includes a visual design that is engaging and references or integrates digital technology, when applicable, with guidance for teachers.

Indicator 3x

Materials include or reference digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other, when applicable.

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this Indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this Indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines the series to determine if the materials provide opportunities and guidance for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other and is applicable to materials with digital components only.

Indicator 3x Guiding Question:

Do the materials include or reference digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other, when applicable?

Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:

- Describe how and where the materials include or reference digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other.
- Describe which stakeholders the materials support collaboration between: teacher to teacher, teacher to student, or student to student.

Cluster Meeting

During the cluster meeting:
Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- How and where do the materials provide opportunities for online or digital collaboration?
- How and where do the materials provide opportunities for students to collaborate with the teacher and/or with other students?
Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.4</th>
<th>The program includes a visual design that is engaging and references or integrates digital technology, when applicable, with guidance for teachers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3y</td>
<td>The visual design (whether in print or digital) supports students in engaging thoughtfully with the subject, and is neither distracting nor chaotic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines the visual design to determine if images, graphics, and models support student learning and engagement, without being visually distracting; examines for consistency in layout of the teacher and student materials; examines resources to determine whether they clearly communicate information; and examines resources to determine whether they contain any errors as they relate to usability.

Indicator 3y Guiding Question:

Does the visual design (whether in print or digital) support students in engaging thoughtfully with the subject, and is neither distracting nor chaotic?

Evidence Collection

Review teacher and student materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:

- Describe how images, graphics, and models support student learning and engagement without being visually distracting.
- Describe whether teacher and student materials are consistent in layout and structure across lessons/modules/units.
- Describe if and how the images, graphics, and models clearly communicate information or support student understanding of topics, texts, or concepts.
- Identify any errors in the resources related to usability.
# Cluster Meeting

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:

- Do all images, graphics, and models support student learning and engagement, without being visually distracting?
- Are the teacher and student materials consistent in layout and structure?
- Are there any directions, questions, or information in the materials or assessments that are ambiguous, unclear, or inaccurate?
- Are the organizational features (Table of Contents, glossary, index, internal references, table headers, captions, etc.) in the materials clear, accurate, and error-free?
### Gateway 3: Instructional Supports & Usability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 3.4</th>
<th>The program includes a visual design that is engaging and references or integrates digital technology, when applicable, with guidance for teachers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator 3z</td>
<td>Materials provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, when applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Scoring: Narrative Evidence Only

Note: No score is given for this indicator. Only qualitative evidence is provided.

#### About this indicator:

What is the purpose of this Indicator?

This indicator examines the materials to determine whether they provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning and is applicable to materials with digital components only.

**Indicator 3z Guiding Question:**

Do the materials provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, when applicable?

#### Evidence Collection

Review teacher materials across the series.

Look for and record evidence to:
- Describe where and how the materials provide guidance for using embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, where applicable.

#### Cluster Meeting

**During the cluster meeting:**

Discuss and answer the following questions to support consensus scoring conversations:
- Where and how do teacher materials provide guidance for using embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, where applicable?